Release of Sulfur and Chlorine during Cofiring RDF and Coal in an Internally Circulating Fluidized Bed
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An internally circulating fluidized bed (ICFB) was applied to investigate the behavior of chlorine and sulfur during cofiring RDF and coal. The pollutant emissions in the flue gas were measured by Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometry (Gasmet DX-3000). In the tests, the concentrations of the species CO, CO₂, HCl, and SO₂ were measured online. Results indicated when cofiring RDF and char, due to the higher content of chlorine in RDF, the formation of HCl significantly increases. The concentration of SO₂ is relatively low because alkaline metal in the fuel ash can absorb SO₂. The concentration of CO emission during firing pure RDF is relatively higher and fluctuates sharply. With the CaO addition, the sulfur absorption by calcium quickly increases, and the desulfuration ratio is bigger than the dechlorination ratio. The chemical equilibrium method is applied to predict the behavior of chlorine. Results show that gaseous HCl emission increases with increasing RDF fraction, and gaseous KCl and NaCl formation might occur.

Introduction

Municipal solid waste (MSW) comprises combustibles (e.g., plastic, paper, textile, etc.), biomass with high moisture content (e.g., kitchen waste and wood, etc.), and noncombustibles (e.g., brick, ash, metal, etc.). According to the economical analysis, a hopeful treatment method of gaining maximum income is MSW integrating disposal technology, by which the three sorts of waste are disposed separately, that is, combustibles used to incinerate, biomass to compost, and noncombustibles to landfill or recycle. In this process of MSW disposal, combustibles are made as refuse-derived fuels (RDF), kitchen waste as fertilizer, and metal as recycling matter. This may bring considerable profits for an integrating disposal plant. Therefore, now such MSW disposal plants are being built in China. The production of RDF is growing as a potential fuel, and the pollution from RDF combustion should be a concern.

RDF has the advantage of high heating value and is easy to burn. One of the potential applications of RDF is cofiring with coal instead of some coal in the boiler. The fraction of RDF in fuels has shared a 5−40% heating value in some boilers.1−3 Because of the high content of volatiles in RDF (more than 70%), a large quantity of volatiles will release during RDF incineration, which is likely to cause unburnout of gaseous matters and induce the emissions (e.g., CO and dioxin) to exceed the environmental standard. The emissions (e.g., CO, SO₂, and NOₓ) of pollutants, PCDDs/Fs, and heavy metals have been investigated and evaluated.4−11 Results show that, as compared to firing pure waste, cofiring may reduce the concentration of emissions. In coal, the content of chlorine is very low, but for RDF, the content of chlorine normally is higher. The ash compositions (Table 1) of coal and RDF are very different, and the content of alkali or alkali earth metals in RDF normally is very high. During cofiring RDF and coal, the behavior of chlorine and sulfur will be determined by the formation of gaseous HCl and SO₂ and retention of chlorine and sulfur in ash. In general, HCl emitted from a combustion process is the third important contribution to the global acidification from human activities (after SO₂ and NOₓ). Chlorine and sulfur can also combine with alkali or alkali-earth metals, which are likely to form aerosols
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in the flue gas.\textsuperscript{12,13} Also, the condensed matters are very harmful in terms of causing fouling, slagging, and high temperature corrosion in the furnace.\textsuperscript{14,15} In addition, HCl and SO\textsubscript{2} are known to catalyze the recombination of radicals in the flame and thus to inhibit the CO oxidation and affect the NO\textsubscript{x} formation.\textsuperscript{16–19} Cl\textsubscript{2} or Cl emitted from chlorine-containing fuel is also an important factor to form the dioxins.\textsuperscript{20,21} Up to now, the study is still limited on the release of chlorine and sulfur for cofiring RDF and coal. Therefore, in the present article, the internally circulating fluidized bed (ICFB) was applied to investigate the behavior of chlorine and sulfur during cofiring RDF and coal.

Experimental Section

Figure 1 illustrates the schematic of the internally circulating fluidized bed incinerator. The fluidized air enters the bed via bubbling caps (0.6\% orifice coefficient). Under the inclined air distributor, the plenum is separated into two equal parts. Next, the primary air separately enters the bed from air boxes with high or low air velocity. Unevenly distributed fluidized air induces a large-size internally circulating flow of solid particle in the dense bed, which may improve the properties of lateral diffusion.\textsuperscript{22,23} Particles under the high air velocity move upward sharply and form the fluidization zone, whereas particles under the low air velocity move downward slowly and form the moving bed. The cross section of the dense phase zone is 500 × 240 mm, and the height is 1000 mm. In the furnace, the dense phase zone changes gradually into the freeboard with the cross section of 800 × 280 mm and the height of 3500 mm. Sand is used as bed materials, and the particle diameter is 0.5–1.0 mm. The air velocity is 6.0 \textit{u}\textsubscript{mf} in the fluidized zone with high air velocity (\textit{u}\textsubscript{mf} is minimum fluidized velocity) and 2.5 \textit{u}\textsubscript{mf} in the moving zone with low air velocity. The feeding rate of fuel was about 5–15 kg/h. During cofiring RDF and coal, the oxygen content in flue gas is 6–8\%. During cofiring RDF and char, the oxygen content in flue gas is 10–16\%.

Figure 2 indicates the gas temperature profile along the bed height during pure RDF combustion. In the tests, the temperature is 1123–1173 K in the dense phase zone and decreases in the freeboard. The temperature is 923–1073 K at the exit. When cofiring coal or char with RDF, the bed temperature increases (sometimes to 1250 K), but the temperature in the freeboard decreases because of the less volatile content in blending fuel. Normally, char combustion mostly occurs in the bed, and volatile combustion occurs in the freeboard. When firing pure char, the temperature was 1123–1223 K in the dense phase zone and decreases in the freeboard. The temperature was 523–573 K at the exit.

When the fluidized bed is started up, first the bed is heated to about 673 K by the ignition of wood with oil. Next, the fine coal (<1 mm) is added to heat the bed to 873–973 K. Finally, coal particle is added until the bed temperature rises to about 1173 K. After the combustion is stabilized for 20–30 min, the test will begin, which will last for 30–60 min. Although two small heat transfer tubes are immersed in the sand bed, the bed temperature is mainly controlled by the balance between the heat release from burning fuel and the heat loss from gas and wall. Therefore, sometimes, a higher excess air has to be used to ensure that enough heat can be carried out by the flue gas. A sampling probe is installed at the flue gas exit near the top of the furnace. The temperature of the sampling tube lines is heated to about 300 °C.
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The biggest variation ratio is 63% for SO\(_2\) and 97% for NO during cofiring RDF and char or coal. In Figure 6, SO\(_2\) concentration decreases with increasing RDF fraction because of the higher chlorine content in RDF, the concentration of SO\(_2\) is much bigger than that in char and coal, the CO concentration in Figure 8 gradually increases with increasing RDF fraction. Generally, the carbon in char or coal is mainly burned in the bed, but the volatile in RDF is combusted in the freeboard. During cofiring RDF and coal, the bed is fed more amount of fuel (15 kg/h) than that during cofiring RDF and char (7.5 kg/h), and a lot of volatile is released into the freeboard and cannot be oxidized completely in time. Thus, CO emission is higher in cofiring RDF and coal. Figure 9 indicates the release of NO during cofiring RDF and char. NO emission increases with increasing RDF fraction. Obviously, the nitrogen in RDF may be transformed preferentially as NO despite the higher nitrogen content in char.

Figures 10 and 11 indicate gaseous SO\(_2\) and HCl conversion ratio during co-combustion with various RDF fractions. With increasing RDF ratio, the gaseous SO\(_2\) conversion ratio slightly increases, and the HCl conversion ratio obviously decreases.

In the tests, pulverized CaO is added to absorb sulfur and chlorine during pure RDF combustion. Figures 12 and 13 give the desulfuration and dechlorination ratio with CaO addition. With increasing Ca/(S + 0.5Cl) ratio, the sulfur absorption by calcium may quickly increase. When the Ca/(S + 0.5Cl) ratio attains 2.5, the desulfuration ratio will keep stable at about 82%. As compared to the desulfuration ratio, the chloride absorption by calcium steadily increases with increasing Ca/(S + 0.5Cl) ratio. The dechlorination ratio is less than the desulfuration ratio. It is even less than 80% when the Ca/(S + 0.5Cl) ratio attains 4.5.

Figures 14 and 15 indicate CO and NO concentration with increasing Ca/(S + 0.5Cl) ratio. Calcium addition significantly depresses CO emission, while it only slightly reduces NO emission. With increasing Ca/(S + 0.5Cl) ratio, CO emission quickly decreases. When the Ca/(S + 0.5Cl) ratio attains 2.5, CO emission attains at the minimum and then almost stays stable. As compared to CO emission, NO emission decreases slightly and steadily with increasing Ca/(S + 0.5Cl) ratio.

### Results

Figures 3, 4, and 5 show the concentration of HCl, SO\(_2\), or CO with varied time during pure RDF combustion (feeding 15 kg/h RDF). Because of the higher chlorine content in RDF, HCl concentration increases gradually with RDF addition. The range of HCl concentration is from 600 to 800 mg/m\(^3\), and the variation ratio is less than 28% of the average value. However, the concentration of SO\(_2\) or CO significantly varies, and the biggest variation ratio is 63% for SO\(_2\) and 97% for CO emission. The average concentrations of SO\(_2\) and CO are 152 mg/m\(^3\) and 0.24%, respectively. Because of the very high volatile content (73%) in RDF, most gas combustion occurs in the freeboard.

In ICFB, air with high or low velocity enters the different bed zone. Gas velocity above the high velocity zone is also very high and the oxygen is insufficient in the low velocity zone, and this will affect the gas mixing in the freeboard. The poor gas mixing will inhibit the CO oxidation.

The results also indicate the pollutant emissions are significantly influenced by the various bed temperatures, the residence time, and fuel property. During data processing, we use the average value of data with varied time in the relatively stable condition.

Figures 6–9 indicate concentrations of SO\(_2\), HCl, CO, and NO during cofiring RDF and char or coal. In Figure 6, SO\(_2\) emission decreases with increasing RDF fraction because of lower sulfur content in RDF than in char. During cofiring RDF and coal, although the sulfur content in RDF is similar to that in coal, the curve of SO\(_2\) in Figure 6 rises slightly with increasing RDF fraction. During cofiring RDF and char, due to higher chlorine content in RDF, the concentration of HCl approximately linearly varies with increasing RDF fraction in Figure 7. Because the volatile content in RDF is much bigger than that in char and coal, the CO concentration in Figure 8 gradually increases with increasing RDF fraction.

### Discussion

**Effect of Chlorine Origin and Temperature on Gaseous Chlorine Species.** Normally, the origin of chlorine in RDF or coal might be separated as organic and inorganic chlorine. In coal, the chlorine exists mainly as the “semi-organic” Cl\(^-\) anion Cl\(^-\), which is sorbed on the coal organic surface in pores and being surrounding by pore moisture.\(^{(24)}\) In RDF, inorganic chlorine (NaCl, etc.) and organic chlorine (PVC, etc.) exist

---


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>fuel</th>
<th>SiO(_2)</th>
<th>P(_2)O(_5)</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>K(_2)O</th>
<th>Na(_2)O</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>Al(_2)O(_3)</th>
<th>Fe(_2)O(_3)</th>
<th>TiO(_2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RDF</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>13.11</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>9.11</td>
<td>7.74</td>
<td>8.76</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coal</td>
<td>58.72</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>21.26</td>
<td>11.22</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>fuel</th>
<th>moisture(^a)</th>
<th>volatile</th>
<th>carbon</th>
<th>ash</th>
<th>(Q_{\text{net}})(^b)</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Cl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RDF</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>73.48</td>
<td>9.35</td>
<td>17.17</td>
<td>24.10</td>
<td>57.24</td>
<td>9.09</td>
<td>14.39</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coal</td>
<td>7.82</td>
<td>29.23</td>
<td>58.58</td>
<td>12.19</td>
<td>28.20</td>
<td>71.41</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>11.20</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>char</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>84.19</td>
<td>13.87</td>
<td>25.03</td>
<td>83.63</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) As arrived. \(^b\) Lower heating value, unit: MJ/kg.

---

Table 1. Ash Composition

Table 2. Fuel Properties
The origin of chlorine in fuel may play a role in the release of chlorine during combustion. The release of chlorine is also dependent on the bed temperature. At high temperature, besides HCl(g), KCl(g) and NaCl(g) might be released. Also, in the cooling process gaseous NaCl and KCl will condense on the furnace wall as solid salts, which can cause fouling, slagging, and corrosion in the furnace. However, during the experiments, only HCl(g) might be measured by the FTIR. To analyze the release of gaseous HCl, KCl, and NaCl, the chemical equilibrium calculation is used to predict the effect of different origins on released species of chlorine and the species distribution under various temperatures.


The chemical equilibrium calculation software FactSage 5.2 is used to predict the gaseous formation, which is based on the Gibbs free energy minimization approach. There are mainly two databases included in the software: FACT (compound database, over 4400 compounds) and ELEM (elements database, 90 elements). In the paper, for the system including elements C, H, O, N, S, Cl, Si, P, Ca, K, Na, Mg, Al, Fe, Ti, and Mn between 400 and 1800 K, there are 611 compounds (143 gas, 94 liquid, and 374 solid compounds) selected. The 99 main compounds (44 gaseous and 55 condensed compounds) from the calculating results are shown in Table 3. During the calculation, the excess air ratio remains at 1.6, and the coal/RDF ratio means the mass ratio. The effects of chlorine origin, temperature, and varied coal/RDF ratio are studied.

Polyvinyl chloride (PVC) and salt (NaCl) are assumed as the organic and inorganic origins of chlorine in RDF, respectively. Figure 16 gives the release ratio of chlorine in PVC and NaCl during combustion under various temperatures. In Figure 16a, almost all of chlorine from PVC is released as gaseous HCl at the range of bed temperatures (1073–1173 K). Some of the chlorine is released as Cl₂, especially in the lower temperature. In Figure 16b, only a very small amount of chlorine from NaCl is released. The calculating results indicate that the release of HCl from organic chlorine is more dependent on the combustion temperature than that from inorganic chlorine. At the bed temperatures, organic chlorine is released more easily.

Under lower temperature, Cl₂ may form via a reaction known as the Deacon reaction:

\[
2\text{HCl} + \frac{1}{2}\text{O}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{Cl}_2
\]  

This may occur in the gas cooling process. Molecular chlorine may play an important role in the formation of dioxin. On the other hand, SO₂ may be attacked by Cl₂ to form SO₃ and HCl:

\[
\text{Cl}_2 + \text{SO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow 2\text{HCl} + \text{SO}_3
\]
This will decrease the formation of molecular chlorine. It indicates that the sulfur presence could suppress dioxin formation.

Figure 17a,b indicates the effect of temperature on gaseous release of HCl/NaCl/KCl with various conditions. Although Cl and Cl₂ are also two important species containing chlorine, the results show that their concentrations are over one magnitude smaller than that of HCl/NaCl/KCl, and they are not shown in the figures. It indicates that the existence of SO₂ will result in less formation of Cl and Cl₂. In Figure 17a, it can be seen that the release of HCl remains nearly stable during 500 and 1200 K when the coal is burned. In Figure 17b, when cofiring coal and RDF, the gaseous HCl concentration increases quickly and then decreases sharply, and the maximum concentration of HCl is attained at about 900 K. Gaseous NaCl and KCl release from the dense phase zone. Once temperature decreases along the bed height, NaCl and KCl will adhere to the furnace wall as solid salts, which perhaps erode the metal wall. Thus, the release of gaseous alkali metal should be controlled during combustion.

Considering that the temperature in the dense phase zone is 1123–1173 K in the tests, 1150 K is chosen as the reaction temperature for calculation to study the formation of SO₂/HCl/NaCl/KCl in the furnace, and the results are shown in Figure 18. During cofiring coal and RDF, with increasing RDF content, gaseous NaCl and KCl release from the dense phase zone. Once temperature decreases along the bed height, NaCl and KCl will adhere to the furnace wall as solid salts, which perhaps erode the metal wall. Thus, the release of gaseous alkali metal should be controlled during combustion.

Release of HCl and Its Retention by Additional CaO. The results of Figure 11 may be explained by the origin and content of chlorine, sulfur, and alkaline metals in coal or RDF. During RDF combustion in ICFB, the chlorine from PVC is released more easily as HCl. Also, NaCl in fuel may induce the following reaction:

\[
2\text{NaCl(lq)} + \text{SO}_2(g) + \text{H}_2\text{O(g)} + 0.5\text{O}_2(g) \rightleftharpoons \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4(g) + 2\text{HCl(g)} \quad (3)
\]

For cofiring char with RDF ratio less than 25%, SO₂ emission is higher than HCl emission. The above reaction results in more HCl emission from the inorganic chlorine. With increasing RDF ratio, SO₂ emission deceases and HCl emission increases, and thus the above reaction becomes less important and the chlorine might be retained by the reaction:

\[
\text{CaO(s)} + 2\text{HCl(g)} \rightleftharpoons \text{CaCl}_2(s,l) + \text{H}_2\text{O(g)} \quad (4)
\]

Obviously, the gaseous HCl conversion ratio is determined by the chlorine content and origin as well as the competition between reactions 3 and 4. The change of gaseous SO₂ conversion ratio might be explained by these factors, but is...
more complicated. In addition, sulfur is likely to be absorbed by calcium and magnesium in ash under fluidized bed temperature.

On the other hand, HCl capture in bed depends very strongly on bed temperature. Reaction 4 is strongly reversible at typical FBC conditions. Actually, HCl capture will be very limited at normal bed temperatures. In addition, CaCl$_2$ may also react with SO$_2$ via the reactions:

\begin{align}
\text{CaCl}_2 + \text{SO}_2 + \text{O}_2 & \rightarrow \text{CaSO}_4 + \text{Cl}_2 \quad (5) \\
\text{CaCl}_2 + \text{SO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow \text{CaSO}_4 + 2\text{HCl} \quad (6)
\end{align}

It will induce HCl and Cl$_2$ to be released again from the bed. The adsorption of HCl by additional CaO can be described by reaction 4, which is significantly dependent on the temperature. As temperature increases, the chlorination of CaO goes through a maximum at around 873 K. With increasing the temperature to 1073–1173 K (at FBC state), relatively little adsorption may be possible. For example, at 1073 K only 30% conversion of the limestone was reported. Obviously, the adsorption is limited by chemical equilibrium between gas and solid. In the results of Figures 12 and 13, the dechlorination ratio is less than the desulfuration ratio, especially for the lower Ca/(S + 0.5Cl). When Ca/(S + 0.5Cl) is higher than 4, the dechlorination ratio rises to about 80%. This may be explained by the adsorption in freeboard. When more CaO is added, some of pulverized CaO may flow into the freeboard, where the adsorption may occur preferably because of relatively low temperature.

**Effect of Additional CaO and HCl on CO and NO Emission.** There are two factors that might have influences on NO and CO emission when adding CaO. One is the catalysis of CaO and char on the reaction of NO and CO as follows:

\begin{align}
\text{NO} + \text{CO} & \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\text{N}_2 + \text{CO}_2 \quad (7) \\
\text{NO} + \text{CO} & \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\text{N}_2 + \text{CO}_2 \quad (8)
\end{align}

Under the conditions with high CO concentration (>1000 ppm), CaO and char will catalyze the reaction of NO and CO. Therefore, CaO addition will reduce NO and CO emission. Another factor is the influence of HCl on the NO and CO emission. HCl may significantly inhibit CO oxidation to increase CO emission. Therefore, increasing the Ca/(S + 0.5Cl) ratio will reduce HCl emission and decrease CO emission (see Figure 14). The influence of HCl on NO emission is still not clear. The results of Lu et al. indicate that chlorine increases NO emission in FBC. Yet Gokulakrishnan and Lawrence found that HCl addition may reduce NO formation. In Figure 15, it can be seen that the influence of HCl on NO emission is not significant and an increasing Ca/(S + 0.5Cl) ratio slightly decreases NO emission.

**Conclusions**

The release of chlorine and sulfur during the cofiring of RDF and coal is investigated in ICFB. The concentration of SO$_2$, HCl, or CO significantly varies in pure RDF combustion. Although the sulfur content in RDF is similar to that in coal, the concentration of SO$_2$ is a little less than that in pure RDF combustion. During cofiring RDF and char, the concentration of HCl approximately linearly varies with increasing RDF fraction. Because the volatile content in RDF is much higher than that in coal, the CO concentration gradually increases with increasing RDF fraction.

---


The release ratio of chlorine and sulfur is mainly dependent on the temperature and alkaline metal in fuel ash. HCl capture will be very limited at normal bed temperatures and thus may require some other way to control it. With the CaO addition, the sulfur absorption by calcium quickly increases, and the desulfuration ratio is bigger than the dechlorination ratio. Calcium addition significantly depresses CO emission, while it only slightly reduces NO emission.

The equilibrium calculations show that organic chlorine is released more easily than is inorganic chlorine at the bed temperatures. Gaseous HCl emission increases with increasing RDF fraction, and gaseous KCl and NaCl formation might occur from about 900 K.

Acknowledgment. Financial support by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Project Nos. 50776099 and 50376068) is gratefully acknowledged. We also thank Prof. Qinggang Lu and Yongjie Na as well as Dr. Cheng Qin for their help on this work.