Research and field experience have shown that well-path control is important in many cases, not only to reach the desired coordinates, but also to arrive at the well completion target from the preferred trajectory.

The authors developed an advanced 3D model that employs two arcs in respective planes, separated by a straight section, and base the model on the premise that the arc curvature remains constant in each section (Fig 1).

Starting from a given location, the well path used by the model is the simplest one possible to hit the expected target from a specified trajectory. The method works in an iterative fashion, avoiding a trial-and-error procedure.

The equations are exact, the calculated results are accurate, and the method represents a significant improvement over the traditional well-path planning techniques. The model and formulas were programmed into computer software; the results proved satisfactory for directional and horizontal wells in China.

Accurate well-path planning is the prerequisite for operators to drill directional or horizontal wells successfully. Engineers can plan well paths in a 2D plane, if no special requirements are imposed by either the surface location, underground conditions, or drilling operational considerations.

Circumstances often require that well planners employ 3D well trajectory calculations, however, as highlighted in the following situations.

- The operator must avoid underground obstacles. The surface location may be offset from the target, such as the case with an offshore platform or when other obstacles are present on land.

- Also underground obstacles in the vertical plane between the wellhead and the well completion target, such as existing well bores, salt domes, metallic deposits, faults, gas caps, and water cones, will force engineers to employ 3D well trajectories.

- The well planner must consider bit walk. Effectively using the natural formation deflection that causes bit walk, engineers can reduce the workload of well trajectory control and drilling cost. They must design the 3D well path, especially in areas where bit walk is considerable.

- The driller must make a path correction. When a well trajectory deviates from the planned path, the correcting section must employ a 3D trajectory to hit the predetermined target. This is similar to a sidetrack or branched well when the target is not in the vertical plane defined by the wellbore direction at the sidetrack or branch point.

- Companies design wells with multiple completion targets. Since the multiple completion targets are not normally in a plane, drillers must employ 3D well paths to reach the targets successively.

**Preferred trajectory**

Existing methods for planning 3D trajectories are available, but their objectives are only to hit the expected targets.1

In some cases, however, adjusting the trajectory to the preferred direction is more important than only reaching the target. For example, trajectory direction is more important than the wellbore’s coordinates in space, when attempting to hit every target in 3D, multiple-target wells.

When drilling horizontal wells, engineers must focus on trajectory direction to land the build-up section successfully and continue drilling the horizontal lateral. The industry has not found a method, however, for planning a well trajectory, from a given starting location, that reaches an expect-
Equations

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{3} \Delta X_i = X_i - X_a \]
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{3} \Delta Y_i = Y_i - Y_a \]
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{3} \Delta Z_i = Z_i - Z_a \]
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{3} \Delta \alpha_i = \alpha_i - \alpha_a \]
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{3} \Delta \phi_i = \phi_i - \phi_a \]
\[ X_b = X_i - \mu^i \sin \alpha_i \cos \phi_i \]
\[ Y_b = Y_i - \mu^i \sin \alpha_i \cos \phi_i \]
\[ Z_b = Z_i - \mu^i \cos \alpha_i \]
\[ \alpha_i = \sin \alpha_i \cos \phi_i \]
\[ \phi_i = \sin \alpha_i \cos \phi_i \]
\[ \theta_i = \cos \alpha_i \sin \phi_i + \cos \phi_i \sin \alpha_i \cos \phi_i \]
\[ \theta = X_b + \theta_i \sin \alpha_i \cos \phi_i \]

Where: \( d = \sqrt{(X_b - X_b)^2 + (Y_b - Y_b)^2 + (Z_b - Z_b)^2} \)
\[ c = \left( a_1 d_1 + a_2 d_2 + a_3 d_3 \right) / c \]
\[ b = \left( a_1 d_1 + a_2 d_2 + a_3 d_3 \right) / c \]
\[ \cos \theta = \alpha_i \cos \theta + \beta_i \sin \theta \]
\[ \sin \theta = \alpha_i \sin \theta + \beta_i \cos \theta \]
\[ \alpha_i = \cos \alpha_i \cos \phi_i + \sin \alpha_i \sin \phi_i \]
\[ \cos \phi_i = \cos \alpha_i \sin \phi_i + \sin \alpha_i \cos \phi_i \]
\[ \mu = R_1 \tan \theta_1 \]
\[ \mu - \mu^i < \epsilon \]
\[ \Delta L_1 = C R_1 \theta_1 \]
\[ \Delta L_2 = V \theta_1 - 2 R_1 \theta_2 - \mu \]
\[ \tan \omega_1 = \frac{\cos \alpha_i \left( \cos \phi_i - \phi_i \right) - \tan \alpha_i}{\tan \alpha_i} \]
\[ \tan \omega_1 = \frac{\cos \alpha_i \left( \cos \phi_i - \phi_i \right) - \tan \alpha_i}{\tan \alpha_i} \]

Where:
\[ \theta = \frac{1}{C R_1} \]

Nomenclature

L = Measured depth, m
\( \alpha \) = Inclination angle, degrees
\( \phi \) = Azimuth angle, degrees
\( \kappa \) = Rate of inclination change (dropping off is a negative value), degrees/30m
\( \kappa_0 \) = Rate of azimuth change (decreasing azimuth is a negative value), degrees/30m
\( \kappa_0 \) = Curvature of well bore trajectory, degrees/30m
\( \kappa \) = North coordinate (south is negative), m
\( \kappa \) = East coordinate (west is negative), m
\( \Theta \) = Vertical depth, m
\( \kappa \) = Horizontal displacement, m
\( \Delta L \) = Curved section length, m
\( \Delta \alpha \) = Section increment of inclination angle, degrees
\( \Delta \theta \) = Section increment of azimuth angle, degrees
\( \Delta X \) = Section increment of north coordinate, m
\( \Delta Y \) = Section increment of east coordinate, m
\( \Delta Z \) = Section increment of vertical depth, m
\( \Delta H \) = Horizontal displacement from the starting point, m
\( \Delta A \) = Closed azimuth from the starting point, degrees
\( \Delta R \) = Curvature radius, m
\( \omega \) = Tool face angle, degrees
\( \kappa \) = Central angle over an arc section, degrees
\( \kappa \) = Tangential length of the second arc, m
\( \mu \) = Initial value of \( \mu \), m
\( \mu \) = Pre-selected error tolerance, m
\( \delta \) = Matrix element 6, j = 1,2,3
\( \lambda \) = Constant related to angle's unit
\( \eta \) = Tangent coordinate from the starting point, m
\( \eta \) = Principal normal coordinate from the starting point, m
\( \xi \) = Binormal coordinate from the starting point, m
\( a \) = Unit vector on \( x \)-axis
\( b \) = Unit vector on \( y \)-axis
\( c \) = Unit vector on \( z \)-axis
\( a \) = Direction cosine of vector \( a \)
\( b \) = Direction cosine of vector \( b \)
\( c \) = Direction cosine of vector \( c \)
\( d \) = Direction cosine from point A to point D

Subscripts

A = Starting point of well
B = Intersection point of tangent lines on the second arc
C = Target
T = Hold section (straight-line section)
I = First arc
2 = Second arc
V = Variable
ed target from a specified direction.

Generally, drillers use a bent housing motor or other steering equipment, operating in sliding mode, to change well-path direction. The trajectory curvature is regarded as a constant arc in 3D space.

Based on this concept, the authors devised a double-arc model to solve the problem. The method yields a well trajectory that hits the predetermined target from a specified path direction, with no need for trial-and-error procedures.

**Model description**

Downhole steerable-motors, bent-housing motor assemblies, or downhole motors with bent-sub assemblies make changing the trajectory easy. Industry research and field experience indicates that deflection rates of steerable assemblies, in sliding mode, are essentially constant.

If the build or deflection rate remains constant, the resulting well trajectory is an arc. For this reason, one can represent the well path as a combination of planar turns and straight sections.

The authors considered the path to be drilled as two arcs in their respective planes separated by a straight section, in order to hit a target from a specified direction, from a starting point on an existing well path (Fig. 1). This profile is the most simplistic possible to meet the well trajectory requirements.

Reaching the predetermined target from the specified direction provides five equality constraints as indicated by Equations 1-5 (accompanying box). The problem then becomes finding a set of parameters to satisfy the constraints. 

The process of finding a solution is not easy. There are many trigonometric functions implicitly involved in the constraints. The unknown profile parameters are not independent, according to the mathematical model of the well path. Solving the problem iteratively often leads to divergent results.

**Solution**

Directly solving Equations 1-5 is not the only way to satisfy the five equality constraints and find a solution. The authors have found an operative method to solve the problem, dividing it into several steps.

First, one determines the tangential vectors \( \mathbf{t}_a \) and \( \mathbf{t}_t \) of the well path, in terms of the coordinates and directions at the starting point A and the target T. If there is a point D in the reverse direction of the vector \( \mathbf{t}_t \) located a distance \( \mu_0 \) to the target, its coordinates \( X_D, Y_D, \) and \( Z_D \) are defined by Equations 6-8.

Second, one determines the plane as defined by point D and the vector \( \mathbf{t}_a \). The well path from the starting point A to point D is designed in this inclined plane, using the method of planning a 2D path.

To describe the well path, one forms a Cartesian coordinate system having its origin at the starting point \( A \), \( A + \xi \eta \zeta \), with the \( \zeta \)-axis representing the forward direction of the well trajectory, \( \eta \)-axis representing its internal normal direction, and the \( \xi \)-axis representing the normal direction of the plane (Figs. 2 and 3).

If using the vectors \( \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \) and \( \mathbf{c} \) to represent individually the unit vectors on \( \xi \)-axis, \( \eta \)-axis, and \( \zeta \)-axis, one defines their direction cosines in O-XYZ system by Equations 9-12, respectively.

According to differential geometry, one can find the formula of coordinate conversion between A - \( \xi \eta \zeta \) system and O-XYZ system. If the coordinates of point D are known in O-XYZ system, Equation 13 will determine them in A - \( \xi \eta \zeta \) system.

Third, the well path is a 2D profile in the inclined plane and Equation 14 defines the central angle over the arc section \( \theta \). Equation 14 requires that \( \eta_0 \neq 2\pi \). If \( \eta_0 = 2\pi \), then \( \theta \) is determined by Equation 15.

Also, the term under the square root of Equation 14 must be greater than or equal to zero. To satisfy this constraint, one must select a bottomhole assembly to ensure an adequate deflection rate.

Fourth, Equations 16 and 17 define the inclination \( \alpha \) and the azimuth \( \phi \) of the straight-line section. Equation 18 gives the central angle over the second arc section. Equation 19 defines the tangent line segment length (CD or DT) of the second arc \( \mu \).

This process of iterative calculations yields a value of \( \mu \) that is closer to the solution than the initial value, \( \mu_0 \). If a pre-selected tolerance \( \epsilon \) is given, Equation 20 provides a conditional statement for a pre-selected tolerance of \( \epsilon \). If this conditional is not met, let \( \mu = \mu_0 \), then repeat the above procedure until the prescribed tolerance is reached.

Finally, the primary parameters of well path are determined. Equations 21-23 define the well-path lengths, including the first arc \( \Delta L_a \), the straight (hold) section from point B to point C \( (\Delta L_b) \), and the second arc \( \Delta L_2 \).

The value of C in Equations 21 and 23 depends on the units of \( \theta \), and \( \eta \), \( C = \pi/180 \) if the angle is in degrees, or \( C = 1 \) if the angle is in radians).

The procedure just outlined provides a solution, even though Equations 1-5 were not solved directly. The conditions were distinctly satisfied and the method
provides excellent astringency and stability of calculation.

**Trajectory calculation**

Trajectory calculations provide descriptive parameters at any point along the well depth as independent variables.

These parameters are the inclination \( \alpha \), the azimuth \( \phi \), the rate of inclination change \( \kappa_\alpha \), the rate of azimuth change \( \kappa_\phi \), the coordinates \( X, Y, Z \), the horizontal displacement \( S \), and the azimuth of horizontal displacement \( \phi_x \).

The well-path profile consists of two arcs and a straight line. The straight section is relatively simple and does not warrant a detailed description.

Although the industry has had a well-path arc model for many years, the values of \( \alpha \) and \( \phi \) on an arc section have only been calculated approximately. No previous mention was made of how to calculate \( \kappa_\alpha \) and \( \kappa_\phi \).

To provide a general description of the arc sections, Equations 24 and 25 first define the tool face angles. For the first arc section, the formula of coordinate conversion between A - XYZ system and O-XYZ system is given in Equation 26.

Equations 27-30 express the inclination, the azimuth, the rate of inclination change, and the rate of azimuth change on an arc section. 1, 2

Substituting matrix elements \( T_{ij} \) into Equations 27-30 yields Equations 31-34, which are used to calculate the curvature presented by G. J. Wilson.

Researchers have implemented these procedures using a computer program, demonstrating that it works very well.

**Example**

An operator plans to drill a horizontal well with an inclination of \( \alpha = 90^\circ \) and an azimuth of \( \phi = 308^\circ \), at the completion target.

Assume that drilling operations are underway. At the tool face, the current inclination is \( \alpha = 58^\circ \) and the azimuth is \( \phi = 300^\circ \). To reach the target, the rig must drill an additional 57-m vertical depth and 170-m horizontal displacement, with an azimuth of 315°.

The engineer must plan the well path to hit the target from the predetermined direction. To start, he will assume the deflection tools have been selected with a curvature or build rate of \( 8^\circ/30 \text{ m} (\kappa_\alpha) \) for the first curve section and \( 10^\circ/30 \text{ m} (\kappa_\phi) \) for the second curve section.

From known data, the requirements above, and iterative calculations, Equations 6-20 yield \( \theta_1 = 26.06^\circ \), \( \theta_2 = 24.26^\circ \), and \( \mu = 36.94 \text{ m} \). Equations 21-23, applied individually, yield the lengths of the first arc section \( \Delta L_1 = 97.72 \text{ m} \), the straight section \( \Delta L_{st} = 12.09 \text{ m} \), and the second arc section \( \Delta L_2 = 72.78 \text{ m} \).

Equations 24 and 25 calculate the tool face angles of each arc section. The tool face of the first arc section is \( \omega_1 = 63.60^\circ \) and the second arc section is \( \omega_2 = 316.43^\circ \). These are the tool face angles at the start of each arc section, since the tool face changes along the 3D arc trajectory.

Table 1 lists the detailed calculated results of the planned trajectory. To simplify, all parameters except for \( \alpha, \phi, \kappa_\alpha \), and \( \kappa_\phi \) are provided incrementally from the beginning, point A, as the reference.
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