Influences of hydration force and elastic strain energy on the stability of solid film in a very thin solid-on-liquid structure
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Since hydration forces become very strong at short range and are particularly important for determining the magnitude of the adhesion between two surfaces or interaction energy, the influences of the hydration force and elastic strain energy due to hydration-induced layering of liquid molecules close to a solid film surface on the stability of a solid film in a solid-on-liquid (SOL) nanostructure are studied in this paper. The liquid of this thin SOL structure is a kind of water solution. Since the surface forces play an important role in the structure, the total free energy change of SOL structures consists of the changes in the bulk elastic energy within the solid film, the surface energy at the solid–liquid interface and the solid–air interface, and highly nonlinear volumetric component associated with interfacial forces. The critical wavelength of one-dimensional undulation, the critical thickness of the solid film, and the critical thickness of the liquid layer are studied, and the stability regions of the solid film have been determined. Emphasis is placed on calculation of critical values, which are the basis of analyzing the stability of the very thin solid film. © 2004 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.1648014]

I. INTRODUCTION

In micro and nano systems, the ratio between the number of atoms at the surface and that in the bulk is much larger than that in macro systems, which accentuates the role of surface forces as well as other surface effects in general.\(^1\)–\(^3\) The best-studied example so far involves Derjaguin–Landau–Verwey–Overbeek (DLVO) theory consisting of attractive van der Waals (vdW) forces (now extended to include dispersion, induction, and orientation Lifshitz–vdW interactions) \(F_{\text{vdW}}\) and repulsive electric double layer (EDL) forces \(F_{\text{EDL}}\) in stabilizing colloids.\(^4\)–\(^7\)

When the thin liquid film is on the solid surface, the stability of the film is fundamental to many phenomena such as dropwise and filmwise condensation, evaporation, and boiling, as well as self-assembly of clusters and molecules.\(^8\) The intermolecular forces of the interface between the liquid film and solid surface are highly nonlinear. The interplay between these forces at different length scales leads to film dynamics and stability regimes that are not only rich in physics, but also have major engineering implications. The nonlinear dynamical properties and effects on nucleation phenomena have been studied.\(^9\)–\(^10\)

In the solid-on-liquid structures, however, a thin solid film covers the liquid layer, where the flexural rigidity of the solid film must be considered. The surface energy in solid-on-liquid structure is different from that of the liquid film lying on the solid surface. The air–liquid interface is now replaced by the sum of the solid–air interface and solid–liquid interface. Since the change of the surface energy at an air–liquid interface is that the surface energy density times the area change and the surface energy density is a constant, the energy increases at an air–liquid interface when some liquid molecules inside the bulk emerge to the interface and increase the area. At a solid–liquid interface, however, since the number of atomic sites is fixed and the area change of the interface is due to the stretching or compressing of the interatomic distance, the energy change results from the elastic strain and the surface stress.\(^11\)–\(^15\) The surface energy density at an air–liquid interface is positive, which tends to stabilize the liquid layer, whereas at a solid–liquid interface the surface stress can be either positive or negative, which can either stabilize or destabilize the solid film and the liquid layer.\(^16\)–\(^18\) Therefore, even a monolayer solid film on a liquid layer can qualitatively change the stability behavior of the liquid layer. Recently, a dimensionless number is introduced to study the stability of a solid film,\(^19\) which discusses the situation under the action of DLVO.

The classical DLVO theory is a continuum theory that does not consider the discrete molecular nature of the surfaces, solvent, or ions and other factors that can become important at small distances, so it is not surprising that the model breaks down when the liquid medium between two surfaces is only few molecular diameters in width. It has long been postulated that a modified water structure exists at solid–water interfaces. In fact, hydrophobicity is a manifestation of water structure at surfaces.\(^20\)–\(^21\) For colloids and interfaces in aqueous media, the predominant effect is attributed to the hydration of the adsorbed counterions and ionic functional groups in the surface. As such surfaces approach each other closely during the interaction, some dehydration of the ions and surface would have to occur, leading to an increase in the free energy and hence a repulsion. These effects are usually referred to as hydration or structural forces.
As aforementioned, Huang and Suo studied this problem. Wrinkles, dragging the liquid underneath to flow under the solid film, are also interaction energies contributed by the water molecules. In the case of extremely hydrophilic polar entities, the polar repulsive energies can give rise to a net interfacial repulsion between hydrophilic particles suspended in water. Such net repulsive energies have also been designated as hydration pressure. They are a consequence of Lewis acid–base interactions between strongly electron-donating particles, immersed in water. The explanation, however, is far from being completely understood and theoretically modeled.

This explanation, however, is far from being completely understood and theoretically modeled. Recently, the so-called XDLVO has been forwarded, which in addition to classical DLVO interactions, accounts for short-range Lewis acid–base or hydration interactions: significant progress has been made in extending the traditional DLVO model to accommodate these non-DLVO forces—for instance, the structural and steric forces for the solid film are presented in Sec. IV.

The critical wavelength of the solid film under the XDLVO forces—for instance, the structural and steric forces for the solid film is determined in Sec. III. The stability regimes for the solid film are presented in Sec. IV.

A. Expression of free energy change

The total change of free energy in the solid-on-liquid structure can be written as

$$\Delta W = \Delta W_F + \Delta W_S + \Delta W_V,$$

where $\Delta W_F$ is the elastic energy change within the solid film, $\Delta W_S$ is surface component, and $\Delta W_V$ is volumetric component.

Assume that the solid film is isotropic and elastic with Young’s modulus $E$ and Poisson’s ratio $\mu$. Let $x$ and $y$ be the coordinates in the middle plane of the solid film and $z$ perpendicular to the middle plane. Due to the small disturbance, the solid film has a deflection $h(x, y)$, in the $z$ direction. Using the von Karman plate theory, from the flat state to the wrinkled state, the strain in the film changes by

$$\Delta \varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x^2} \right) - 2 \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x \partial y} z,$$

where $z = 0$ at the middle plane and $z = \pm h/2$ at the top and bottom surfaces of the solid film. The elastic energy within the solid film consists of the in-plane strain energy and the bending energy. From the flat state to the wrinkled state, the change of the elastic energy within the solid film per unit area is

$$\Delta W_F = \frac{\sigma h}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x^2} \right) + 2(1-\mu) \left( \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial y \partial x} \right)^2,$$

where $\sigma$ is the in-plane residual stress within the solid film in the state of having no perturbation, $D$ is the flexural rigidity, and $D = Eh^2/12(1-\mu^2)$. The parameters $u$ and $v$ are in-plane displacements along the $x$ and $y$ directions, respectively.

For simplicity and without loss of generality, just consider the situation of a one-dimensional disturbance, which changes shape to

$$h(x) = A' \cos \frac{2\pi x}{L},$$

where $A'$ is a small perturbation and $L$ is the wavelength. The elastic energy change of the solid film in one period of the undulation is

$$\Delta W_F = \frac{DA'^2}{2} \left( \frac{2\pi}{L} \right)^4 \int_0^L \cos^2 \frac{2\pi x}{L} dx.$$

By integration, the elastic energy of the solid film in one period of the undulation can be written as

$$\Delta W_F = \frac{4DA'^2\pi^4}{L^3}.$$

To calculate the change of the surface energy, it is necessary to calculate the change of the surface area. Using the cosine profile, the change $\Delta L$ is given as
\[ \Delta L = \int_0^L \sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{2 \pi A'}{L} \right)^2 \sin^2 \frac{2 \pi x}{L}} \, dx - L. \]

Due to the small perturbation \( A' \ll L \), the change \( \Delta L \) can be simplified to

\[ \Delta L = 2 \left( \frac{\pi A'}{L} \right)^2 \int_0^L \sin^2 \frac{2 \pi x}{L} \, dx = \frac{(A')^2}{L}. \]

So the change in surface energy in one period of the perturbation\(^6\) is

\[ \Delta W_S = \frac{F(A') \pi^2}{L}, \]

where \( F \) is the resultant membrane force of the solid film, \( F = \sigma h + f \), \( \sigma \) is the aforementioned residual stress in the solid film in the state of no disturbance, and \( f \) is the sum of the surface stresses at the top and bottom surfaces of the solid film.

The energy density is expanded with respect to the disturbance by Taylor series expansion as the following:

\[ w_L(H+h') = w_L(H) + \frac{d w_L(H)}{dH} h' + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 w_L(H)}{dH^2} h'^2 + \cdots. \]

The change in volumetric free energy in one period of the undulation can be found as

\[ \Delta W_V = \int_0^L [w_L(H+h') - w_L(H)] \, dx \]

\[ = \int_0^L \frac{d w_L(H)}{dH} h' \, dx + \int_0^L \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 w_L(H)}{dH^2} h'^2 \, dx + \cdots. \]

Due to mass conservation, the first term on the right side is zero. Using the cosine profile of the film thickness and neglecting terms higher than the second-order term, the volumetric free energy change can be written as

\[ \Delta W_V = \frac{A'^2 L}{4} \frac{d^2 w_L(H)}{dH^2}. \]

**B. Volumetric interaction energy**

**1. van der Waals forces**

The change of the number of photons in the electromagnetic oscillation modes in a structure made of several media leads to a configurational force, known as the dispersion force or the vdW forces. If the volumetric force interaction between a liquid layer and a solid film in the presence of its vapor is only through vdW interactions, the volumetric interaction energy density can be written as

\[ w_{\text{vdW}}(H) = -\frac{A_h}{12 \pi H^2}, \]

where \( A_h \) is Hamaker constant, which depends on the dielectric spectra of the media, and \( H \) is the separation gap. When two dissimilar media interact across a film of a third medium, the dispersion force can be either attractive \((A>0)\) or repulsive \((A<0)\). The dispersion force is, however, always attractive when two identical media interact across a film of another medium. The influence of vdW forces on the morphological stability of thin solid films was studied by Refs. 31 and 32.

The curvature of the \( w_{\text{vdW}} \) has the form

\[ \frac{d^2 w_{\text{vdW}}(H)}{dH^2} = -\frac{A_h}{2 \pi H^4}. \]

**2. Electric double layer**

For charged surfaces in liquid, new phenomena happen mainly as the result of the charge redistribution in the liquid. Basically, the final surface charge is balanced by counterions in the liquid by equal but opposite total charge. The surface electrical potential attracts counterions to the wall and forms a thin layer of immobile ions. Outside the layer, the distribution of the counterions in the liquid mainly follows the exponential decaying dependence away from the surface, which is called the diffuse EDL. EDL has a characteristic length, the Debye length, which depends inversely on the square root of ion concentration in the liquid.\(^8\) An approximate expression for the interaction energy density can be given as

\[ w_{\text{EDL}} = 64 \kappa^{-1} n k_B T \beta^2 \exp(-\kappa H), \]

where \( n \) is the ionic number density, \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant, \( T \) is absolute temperature, \( \beta \) is given as

\[ \beta = \tanh \left( \frac{ze \phi_s}{4 k_B T} \right), \]

where \( z \) is the valence of species ions, \( \phi_s \) is the surface potential, and \( e \) is the charge of electrons. Note that the influence of electrostatic forces depends on the Debye length \( \kappa^{-1} \), which is given as

\[ \kappa^{-1} = \left( \frac{e k_B T}{2 n z^2 e^2} \right)^{1/2}, \]

where \( e \) is the dielectric permittivity of the solvent. For a NaCl solution at room temperature, the Debye length is 30.4 nm at 10\(^{-4} \) mol, 0.96 nm at 0.1 mol, and 0.3 nm at 1 mol; in totally pure water at pH 7, the Debye length is 960 nm or about 1 \( \mu \)m. Therefore, the EDL forces can have much longer range than vdW forces.

The curvature of the \( w_{\text{EDL}} \) can be written as

\[ \frac{d^2 w_{\text{EDL}}(H)}{dH^2} = 64 n k_B T \beta^2 \exp(-\kappa H). \]

Using representative values \( e = 7.21 \times 10^{-10} \text{C}^2/\text{Nm}^2 \) and \( T = 287 \text{K} \), we have \( \kappa^{-1} = 3.041 \text{nm} \) for a 1:1 electrolyte \( z = 1 \) of concentration 0.01 mol \((n = 6.02 \times 10^{24} \text{m}^{-3})\). At a lower electrolyte concentration of \( n = 6.02 \times 10^{23} \text{m}^{-3} \), we have \( \kappa^{-1} = 9.617 \text{nm} \). The higher electrolyte concentration, the thinner the EDL thickness is.

**3. Hydration force**

There are many aqueous systems where DLVO theory fails and where additional short-range \((<5 \text{nm})\) exponent-
tially repulsive solvation forces are observed and commonly referred to as hydration, structural, hydrophilic forces, or non-DLVO forces. Hydration forces arise whenever water molecules bind to surfaces containing hydrophilic groups (i.e., certain ionic, zwitterionic, or H-bonding groups), and then the strength depends on the energy needed to disrupt the ordered water structure and ultimately dehydrate two surfaces as they approach each other.

It is probable that the short-range hydration force between smooth rigid surfaces is always oscillatory, due to the ordered layering of water molecules bound to hydrated surface groups. This may be superimposed on a monotonically repulsive profile due to image interactions and/or a decaying cooperative H-bonding interaction away from the surfaces. It is also likely that for rough or fluid surfaces, the oscillations are smeared out, resulting in a purely monotonic repulsion. It is clear, however, that the strong repulsion between the surfaces and the exponential decay of hydration forces are still detected. The hydration energy density can be written as

\[ w_{\text{Hyd}} = w_0 \exp \left( -\frac{H}{\lambda} \right), \]  

(18a)

where the decay length \( \lambda \) is the order of 1 nm and \( w_0 \) ranges from 3 to 30 mJ/m². Such a relation cannot be derived from the first principles but a close fit to experimental observations. It does not account for the oscillatory nature but provides the finite value as \( H \to 0 \), experimental data show that the hydration energy keeps increasing as \( H \) decreases. In view of the fact, it is proposed that the hydration energy follows

\[ w_{\text{Hyd}} = w_0 \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda}{H} \right) \exp \left( -\frac{H}{\lambda} \right). \]  

(18b)

It can be demonstrated that hydration forces dominate over vdW forces and the EDL interaction for \( H \) by less than about 3–5 nm.

The curvature of the \( w_H \) is as follows:

\[ \frac{d^2w_{\text{Hyd}}}{dH^2} = \frac{w_0}{\lambda^2} \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda}{H} + \frac{2\lambda^2}{H^2} + \frac{2\lambda^3}{H^3} \right) \exp \left( -\frac{H}{\lambda} \right). \]  

(19)

4. Elastic strain energy

If the lattice constant of the solid film is not equal to that of the layered icelike water, the bonds between the water molecules in the hydration-induced layered structure close to the solid surface will be strained. The strain has an influence on the phenomena at the solid–liquid interface. Recent experiments have also shown that strain energy can play a significant role in nucleation processes, especially for the heterogeneous nucleation of ice from water on a solid surface. In addition, a recent experiment showed that the first few layers of liquid mercury in contact with a diamond surface form a layered structure with the orientation of solid Hg crystals. If the first layers are solid like, there should be some strain due to the mismatch in the lattice constants of the solidlike liquid layer in contact with the solid film. It is proposed that the strain energy density in the liquid layer is as follows:

[Equation for strain energy density]

\[ w_{\text{ESE}} = \frac{E_A e_0^2}{2} \left[ 1 - \exp \left( -\frac{H}{\lambda} \right) \right], \]  

(20)

where \( \lambda \) is the decay length and \( e_0 \) is the strain of the first monolayer, which typically ranges between 0 and 0.04 and arises from lattice mismatch.

The curvature of the \( w_{\text{ESE}} \) is given as

\[ \frac{d^2w_{\text{ESE}}(H)}{dH^2} = -\frac{E_A e_0^2}{2\lambda} \exp \left( -\frac{H}{\lambda} \right). \]  

(21)

C. Critical thickness of the liquid layer and solid film

1. Critical thickness of the liquid layer by XDLVO theory

From the calculation in Sec. I, if only the DLVO forces (attractive vdW force and repulsive EDL) are considered, the sum of curvature of the volumetric free energy can be written as

\[ \frac{d^2w_H}{dH^2} = -\frac{A_h}{2\pi H^2} + 64\pi k_B T \exp(-\kappa H). \]  

(22)

From Eq. (12), the curvature of vdW forces is proportional to the Hamaker constant \( A_h \), inversely proportional to \( H^2 \). Therefore, the curvature of the vdW forces is more sensitive to \( H \) than to \( A_h \). The curvature of the electric double layer is exponentially decaying with \( H \).

Figure 2 plots the curvature of vdW, EDL, and the combination of the two interactions. When the thickness of the liquid layer is thick, the effect of the interaction energy for the curvature is very small, even can be neglected, whereas when the liquid layer thickness is less than 10 nm, the effect of the interaction energy cannot be neglected any more and becomes significant. Figure 2 shows the critical thickness \( H_c \) resulting from the DLVO interactions and the critical thickness of the liquid layer is approximately 2 nm.

At small separation, below a few molecular diameters, some properties such as the dielectric constant and density are no longer the same as in the bulk, and a short-distance intermolecular pair potential can be quite different from continuum theories, where DLVO theories are regarded as con-
tinuum theories. These short-distance interactions are usually referred to as solvation forces, whereas when the medium is water, these forces are named hydration forces. Hydration forces depend not only on the property of the intervening medium but also on the chemical and physical properties of the surfaces, whether amorphous or crystalline, smooth or rough, rigid or fluid like. Such forces can be very strong at short range, and they are particularly important for determining the magnitude of the adhesion between the two surfaces or interaction energy.

When the attractive vdW, repulsive EDL, and hydration forces \([XDLVO(3)]\) are considered, the curvature sum can be given as

\[
\frac{d^2w_V}{dH^2}_{XDLVO(3)} = -\frac{A_h}{2\pi H^2} + 64\kappa n k_B T \beta^2 \exp(-\kappa H) + \frac{w_0}{\lambda^2} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{H} + \frac{2\lambda^2}{H^2} + \frac{2\lambda^3}{H^3}\right) \times \exp\left(-\frac{H}{\lambda}\right).
\]

(23)

Figure 3 depicts the curve of \(d^2w_V/dH^2\) versus separation \(H\). Since hydration forces play an important role at the small separation, it must be considered in solid-on-liquid structures. As shown in Fig. 3, the hydration force is very large at separation below 3 nm. The sum of the curvature of the XDLVO(3) forces is plotted. From the Figs. 2 and 3(b), we can see that the curve \((d^2w_V/dH^2)_{XDLVO(3)}\) moves to the left in contrast to that of \((d^2w_V/dH^2)_{DLVO}\) and the critical thickness of the former is smaller than that of the latter.

It is important to note that hydration forces do not arise simply because liquid molecules tend to structure into semi-ordered layers at surfaces. They arise because of the disruption or change of this ordering during the approach of a second surface. If there were no change, there would be no hydration forces, whereas the two effects are of course related, the greater the tendency towards structuring at an isolated surface, the greater the hydration forces between the two surfaces. In solid-on-liquid structures, the bonds between the water molecules in the hydration-induced layered structure close to the solid film surface will be strained if the lattice constant of the solid film is not equal to that of the layered icelike water. In fact, the strain affects the phenomena at the solid–liquid interface. So there should be some strain because of the mismatch in the lattice constant of the solidlike liquid layer in contact with the solid film if the first few layers are solidlike. Note that there is no strain between the water molecules in the bulk water. Recent experiments have also shown that strain energy can play a significant role in the nucleation of ice from water on a solid surface.

When all these four terms—i.e., vdW, EDL, hydration, and elastic strain energy \([XDLVO(4)]\)—are considered, the curvature sum of the volumetric free energy can be written as

\[
\frac{d^2w_V}{dH^2}_{XDLVO(4)} = \frac{w_0}{\lambda^2} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{H} + \frac{2\lambda^2}{H^2} + \frac{2\lambda^3}{H^3}\right) \times \exp\left(-\frac{H}{\lambda}\right) - \frac{A_h}{2\pi H^2} \\
+ 64\kappa n k_B T \beta^2 \exp(-\kappa H).
\]

(24)

Figure 4(b) shows the critical thickness \(H_c\) resulting from the XDLVO(4) interactions, respectively. It is clear from Figs. 2(b) and 4(b) that the critical thickness under the DLVO interactions is not same as that in the state of XDLVO interactions. Hence the effect of the XDLVO, especially the hy-
whereas the solid film is unstable if the liquid thickness is greater than the critical thickness $h_c$ and $ah^3 + bh > |(d^2W_V/dh^2)_{\text{XDLVO}(4)}|$ and stable if the liquid thickness is less than the critical thickness $H_c$ and $ah^3 + bh < |(d^2W_V/dh^2)_{\text{XDLVO}(4)}|$. When $h > h_c$, the solid film is stable if $H > H_c$, whereas the solid film is stable if $H < H_c$ and $ah^3 + bh > |(d^2W_V/dh^2)_{\text{XDLVO}(4)}|$ and unstable if $H < H_c$ and $ah^3 + bh < |(d^2W_V/dh^2)_{\text{XDLVO}(4)}|$. When $h < h_c$, the solid film is unstable if $H < H_c$, whereas the solid film is stable if $H > H_c$ and $(d^2W_V/dh^2)_{\text{XDLVO}(4)} > |ah^3 + bh|$ and unstable if $H < H_c$ and $(d^2W_V/dh^2)_{\text{XDLVO}(4)} < |ah^3 + bh|$.

Since the stability of a solid film depends on the value of $\Delta W_V$, where $\Delta W_V > 0$, the change of total volumetric energy due to small perturbations is greater than zero and the solid film is stable, whereas when $\Delta W_V < 0$, the solid film is unstable.

III. CRITICAL WAVELENGTH OF THE SOLID FILM

The total change of free energy in the solid-on-liquid structure can be written as

$$ \Delta W_V = \frac{A^2}{L^4} (AL^4 + BL^2 + C), \quad (26) $$

where $A$, $B$, $C$ are

$$ A = \frac{1}{4} \left[ - \frac{A_h}{2\pi H} + 64\kappa n k_B T \beta^2 \exp(-\kappa H) \right. $$

$$ + \left. \frac{w_0}{\lambda^2} \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda}{H} + \frac{2\lambda^2}{H^2} + \frac{2\lambda^3}{H^3} \right) - \frac{E_0}{2\lambda} \right] \exp \left( -\frac{H}{\lambda} \right), $$

$$ B = F \pi^2, $$

$$ C = 4D \pi^2. $$

For the flat film to be unstable, the total change in free energy must be less than zero—that is, $\Delta W_V < 0$. By letting $\Delta W_V < 0$, the inequality about the wavelength $L$ can be written as

$$ AL^4 + BL^2 + C < 0. $$

If $B^2 - 4AC = 0$, then

$$ F^2 - D \left[ - \frac{A_h}{2\pi H} + 64\kappa n k_B T \beta^2 \exp(-\kappa H) \right. $$

$$ + \left. \frac{w_0}{\lambda^2} \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda}{H} + \frac{2\lambda^2}{H^2} + \frac{2\lambda^3}{H^3} \right) - \frac{E_0}{2\lambda} \right] \exp \left( -\frac{H}{\lambda} \right) = 0. $$

That is, the curvature of volumetric free energy can be written as

$$ \frac{d^2W_V}{dH^2} = \frac{F^2}{D}. \quad (27) $$

So $F^2/D$ is the critical curvature. Under the condition of $B^2 - 4AC < 0$, the total free energy change $\Delta W_V = AL^4$
$+BL^2+C<0$ if $A<0$ and $\Delta W_f=AL^4+BL^2+C>0$ if $A>0$: that is, the solid film is unstable if $A<0$ and stable if $A>0$ in the state of $B^2-4AC<0$.

Since the parameter $C$ is always greater than zero, three cases are distinguished in following discussion, which is on the condition of $C>0$.

Case 1: $B<0$

1. When $A<0$, critical wavelength can be written as

$$L_{cr} = \sqrt{-\frac{B - \sqrt{B^2 - 4AC}}{2A}}.$$ 

The flat film is unstable if $L>\ell_{cr}$ and stable if $0<L<L_{cr}$.

2. When $A=0$, critical wavelength can be written as

$$L_{cr} = \sqrt{-\frac{C}{B}}.$$ 

The flat film is unstable if $L>\ell_{cr}$ and stable if $0<L<L_{cr}$.

3. When $A>0$, there exist two critical wavelengths, which can be written as

$$L_{cr1} = \sqrt{-\frac{B - \sqrt{B^2 - 4AC}}{2A}},$$

$$L_{cr2} = \sqrt{-\frac{B + \sqrt{B^2 - 4AC}}{2A}}.$$ 

It is obvious that $L_{cr1}<L_{cr2}$. So the flat film is unstable if $L_{cr1}<L<L_{cr2}$, stable if $0<L<L_{cr1}$ or $L>L_{cr2}$.

Case 2: $B>0$

1. When $A<0$, critical wavelength can be written as

$$L_{cr} = \sqrt{-\frac{B - \sqrt{B^2 - 4AC}}{2A}}.$$ 

The flat film is unstable if $L>\ell_{cr}$ and stable if $0<L<L_{cr}$.

2. When $A=0$, the total change of free energy in the solid-on-liquid structure is greater than zero—that is, $AL^4+BL^2+C>0$. The flat film is always stable.

3. When $A>0$, the total change of free energy in the solid-on-liquid structure is greater than zero—namely, $AL^4+BL^2+C>0$. The flat film is always stable.

Case 3: $B=0$

1. When $A<0$, the critical wavelength can be written as

$$L_{cr} = \sqrt{-\frac{B - \sqrt{B^2 - 4AC}}{2A}}.$$ 

The flat film is unstable if $L>\ell_{cr}$ and stable if $0<L<L_{cr}$.

2. When $A=0$, the total change of free energy in the solid-on-liquid structure is greater than zero—that is, $AL^4+BL^2+C>0$. The flat film is always stable.

IV. DISCUSSION

We will use the representative values $A_h=10^{-20}$ J, $\phi_i=0.1$ V, $E=100$ GPa, $\mu=0.3$, and $\sigma=\pm 100$ MPa in this section for the calculations.

A. Critical thickness

As shown in Fig. 2, vdW forces predominate over the EDL before the resultant curve arrives at the maximum, whereas after the maximum, the EDL is predominant. The curvature of the combined interaction energy is concave down for the thin liquid layer, but concave up for thick liquid layer, and the critical thickness of the liquid layer is about 1.8 nm.

Figure 3 shows the curvature of the XDLVO(3) interaction energy. VdW forces predominate over EDL and hydration forces, whereas after the maximum, the EDL and hydration forces are predominant. Since hydration forces are particularly important when the separation distance is smaller than 3 nm, the hydration forces predominate over the EDL after the maximum. The curvature of the combined interaction energy is concave down for the thin liquid layer, but concave up for the thick liquid layer, and the critical thickness of the liquid layer is about 0.26 nm, which attributes the action of hydration forces.

vdW forces and elastic strain energy predominate over EDL and hydration forces before the resultant curve arrives at the maximum, as shown in Fig. 4; however, the EDL and hydration forces are predominant after the maximum. The curvature of the combined interaction energy is concave down for the thin liquid layer, but concave up for the thick liquid layer, and the critical thickness of the liquid layer is about 8 nm.

B. Stability of the solid film

When the membrane force is tensile, using representative values as before and $E=100$ GPa, $\mu=0.3$, $\sigma=100$ MPa, $h=10$ nm, and $f=0$, the stability regimes are plotted in Figs. 5–7 under the condition of different combinations of interaction energies.

When the interaction energy result from DLVO theory, the stability regime is plotted in Fig. 5 under the condition that the membrane force be tensile. As shown in Fig. 5, in region I, $L>L_{cr}$, and the flat film is unstable. In region II,
0 < \( L < L_{cr} \), and the flat film is stable. In region III, \( \Delta W_V = AL^4 + BL^2 + C > 0 \), and the flat film is always stable. That is, when the membrane force is tensile, the flat film is stable if \( H > H_c \). But there exists a critical wavelength if \( H < H_c \): the flat film is unstable if \( L > L_{cr} \) and stable if \( 0 < L < L_{cr} \).

When XDLVO(3) interaction energies are considered, Fig. 6 shows the stability regime under the condition that the membrane force be tensile. In region I, \( L > L_{cr} \), and the flat film is unstable. In region II, \( 0 < L < L_{cr} \), and the flat film is stable. In region III, the change of free energy is greater than zero, \( \Delta W_V > 0 \), so the flat film is always stable. That is, the flat film is stable if \( H > H_c \) when the membrane force is tensile, and the flat film is unstable if \( L > L_{cr} \) and stable if \( 0 < L < L_{cr} \) and \( H < H_c \).

When XDLVO(4) interaction energies are considered, the stability regime is shown in Fig. 7 under the condition that the membrane force be tensile. In region I, \( L > L_{cr} \), and the flat film is unstable. In region II, \( 0 < L < L_{cr} \), and the flat film is stable. In region III, the flat film is always stable because of the total free energy change \( \Delta W_V = AL^4 + BL^2 + C > 0 \). That is, when the membrane force is tensile, the flat film is stable if \( H > H_c \). But there exists a critical wavelength if \( H < H_c \): the flat film is unstable if \( L > L_{cr} \) and stable if \( 0 < L < L_{cr} \). From comparison of the three cases, we can see that the magnitude of the critical thickness of the liquid layer, \( H_c \), is different, but the distribution trend of the stability regime is identical.

When the membrane force is compressive, using the representative values as before and \( E = 100 \) GPa, \( \mu = 0.3 \), \( \sigma = -100 \) MPa, \( h = 10 \) nm, and \( f = 0 \), the value of \( F^2/D \) approximates \( 2.73 \times 10^{13} \) J/m^4. This value is denoted in Fig. 2. We make a line parallel to the axis of separation \( H \) from this point of \( F^2/D \), and this line and the curve of resultant interactions intersect with two points. Then we make perpendicular lines from the two points, respectively, which intersect with the axis of separation \( H \) at two points of \( H_1 \) and \( H_2 \). Since the value of \( F^2/D \) is less than the maximum of the resultant curve of these three interactions, the line parallel to axis of separation \( H \) from \( F^2/D \) intersects with the curve of resultant interactions at two points. So according to the same method as above, the points of \( H_1 \) and \( H_2 \) are obtained from Fig. 3. However, when the XDLVO(4) forces are considered, the value of \( F^2/D \) exceeds the maximum of the resultant curve of these four interactions, and so there are no intersections with the curve of the resultant interactions.

When the intermolecular forces result from DLVO theory, the stability regime is potted in Fig. 8. Since the critical thickness \( H_c \) is very near \( H_1 \), two figures are plotted in order to explicitly express the stability regimes between \( H_c \) and \( H_1 \). In region I, \( L > L_{cr} \), and the flat film is stable. In region II, \( 0 < L < L_{cr} \), and the flat film is unstable. In region III, \( L > L_{cr} \), and the flat film is stable. In region IV, \( 0 < L < L_{cr} \), and the flat film is stable. In region V, \( L_{cr} < L < L_{cr}^2 \), and the flat film is unstable. In region VI, \( H_1 < H < H_2 \), and the flat film is stable. In region VII, \( L > L_{cr} \), and the flat film is stable. In region VIII, \( 0 < L < L_{cr}^1 \), and the flat film is stable. In region IX, \( L_{cr} < L < L_{cr}^2 \), and the flat film is unstable.

When XDLVO(3) intermolecular forces are considered, Fig. 9 shows the stability regime. Since the distance between \( H_c \) and \( H_1 \) in Fig. 9 is shorter than that in Fig. 8, the stability regime between 0 and 20 nm is divided into three parts. In region I, \( L > L_{cr} \), and the flat film is unstable. In region II, \( 0 < L < L_{cr}^1 \), the flat film is stable. In region III, \( L > L_{cr} \), and the flat film is stable. In region IV, \( 0 < L < L_{cr}^1 \), and the flat film is stable. In region V, \( L_{cr} < L < L_{cr}^2 \), and the flat film is unstable. In region VI, \( H_1 < H < H_2 \), and the flat film is stable. In region VII, \( L > L_{cr}^2 \), and the flat film is stable. In region VIII, \( 0 < L < L_{cr}^1 \), and the flat film is stable. In region IX, \( L_{cr} < L < L_{cr}^2 \), and the flat film is unstable. The critical thickness \( H_c \) of the liquid layer is indicated in this regime, as do \( H_1 \) and \( H_2 \).

For DLVO(4), there do not exist the critical values of \( H_1 \) and \( H_2 \) because of the value of \( F^2/D \) greater than the maximum of the resultant curve of these four interactions. As shown in Fig. 10, five different regions can be partitioned.
The flat film is unstable in regions I and V: inequalities $L > L_{cr1}$ and $L_{cr1} < L < L_{cr2}$ are held in these two regions, respectively. The flat film is stable in regions II, III, and IV: inequalities $0 < L < L_{cr1}$, $L > L_{cr2}$, and $0 < L < L_{cr1}$ are held in these three regions, respectively. The critical thickness of the liquid layer, $H_c$, is indicated by the dotted line.

V. SUMMARY

The critical wavelength and critical thickness of the solid film are calculated, and the critical thickness of the liquid layer is obtained in the presence of elastic energy change within the solid film, surface component, and volumetric component consisting of XDLVO(4). A one-dimensional disturbance has been performed to study the stability of very thin solid-on-liquid structures. The solid film is stable when the total change of free energy in the SOL structure is greater than zero, whereas the solid film is unstable when the total change of free energy in the SOL structure is less than zero. Stability regimes of the solid film are plotted under different interactions. It is shown that tensile residual stress of the solid film tends to stabilize the film, vdW forces with positive Hamaker constant, and elastic strain energy destabilization of the film; however, vdW forces with negative Hamaker constant, EDL, and hydration forces stabilize the film. The effect of critical wavelength and the critical thickness on the stability of the solid film are estimated. As far as XDLVO(4) is concerned, there exists only a critical wavelength $L_{cr1}$ when the separation lies between 0 and $H_s$ — the thin solid film is unstable if $L > L_{cr1}$ and stable if

![FIG. 8. Stability regime of the solid film in terms of the critical wavelength $L_{cr}$ as a function of separation $H$ by using DLVO theory. (a) Stability regime between 0 and 2.5 nm. (b) Stability regime between 2 nm and 20 nm.](image)

![FIG. 9. Stability regime of the solid film in terms of the critical wavelength $L_{cr}$ as a function of separation $H$ by using XDLVO(3). (a) Stability regime between 0 and $H_s$. (b) Stability regime between $H_s$ and $H_1$. (c) Stability regime between $H_1$ and 20 nm.](image)
thin solid film is unstable if the liquid layer becomes thicker, the critical thickness of the liquid layer becomes smaller.

0<L<L_{cr1} — whereas there exist two critical wavelengths of L_{cr1} and L_{cr2} when the separation is greater than H_{c} — the thin solid film is unstable if \( L_{cr1} < L < L_{cr2} \) and stable if \( 0 < L < L_{cr1} \) and \( L > L_{cr2} \). When the ion concentration of the liquid layer becomes thicker, the critical thickness of the liquid layer becomes smaller.
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APPENDIX

The nomenclature used is the following:

- \( \alpha \): Hamaker constant,
- \( \alpha' \): amplitude of the small perturbation of the solid film,
- \( D \): flexural rigidity of the solid film,
- \( e \): charge of electron,
- \( E \): Young’s modulus,
- \( f \): sum of the surface stresses at the top and bottom surfaces of the solid film,
- \( F \): resultant membrane force of the solid film,
- \( h \): thickness of the solid film,
- \( h_{c} \): critical thickness of the solid film,
- \( h' \): perturbed thickness of solid film,
- \( H \): thickness of the liquid layer,
- \( H_{c} \): critical thickness of the liquid layer,
- \( k_{B} \): Boltzmann constant,
- \( L \): wavelength,
- \( L_{cr} \): critical wavelength,
- \( n \): number density,
- \( T \): absolute temperature,
- \( u \): in-plane displacement in the x direction,
- \( v \): in-plane displacement in the y direction,
- \( w \): free energy density,
- \( w_{ESE} \): elastic strain energy density,
- \( w_{EDL} \): electric double-layer energy density,
- \( w_{Hyd} \): hydration energy density,
- \( W_{F} \): elastic energy within the solid film,
- \( W_{S} \): surface component of the free energy in the SOL structure,
- \( W_{v} \): density of volumetric component of the free energy in the SOL structure,
- \( W_{V} \): volumetric component of the free energy in the SOL structure,
- \( w_{vdw} \): van der Waals energy density,
- \( \gamma \): valence of species ions,
- \( \beta \): \( \tanh(\kappa_{s}d/4\kappa_{b}T) \),
- \( \varepsilon_{0} \): dielectric permittivity of the solvent,
- \( \varepsilon \): strain of the first monolayer,
- \( \kappa \): reciprocal of the Debye length,
- \( \lambda \): decay length,
- \( \mu \): Poisson’s ratio,
- \( \sigma \): residual stress of the solid film,
- \( \phi_{s} \): surface potential.

The abbreviations are as follows:

- DLVO: vdW+EDL
- ESE: elastic strain energy
- Hyd: hydration force
- vdW: van der Waals
- XDLVO(3): vdW+EDL+hyd
- XDLVO(4): vdW+EDL+hyd+ESE


FIG. 10. Stability regime of the solid film in terms of the critical wavelength \( L_{cr} \) as a function of separation \( H \). Interaction energies for this calculation were vDW, EDL, hydration force, and elastic strain energy.