Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jiuling Wang, Beijing Institute of Technology, China

REVIEWED BY Lvwen Zhou, Ningbo University, China Chunfang Tong, Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Huaping Wu, I wuhuaping@gmail.com Helong Wu, I helongwu@zjut.edu.cn Xiaohuan Wang, I wangxh0808@126.com

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Molecular Recognition, a section of the journal Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences

RECEIVED 30 January 2023 ACCEPTED 08 February 2023 PUBLISHED 17 February 2023

CITATION

Zheng S, Zou M, Shao Y, Wu H, Wu H and Wang X (2023), Two-dimensional measurements of receptorligand interactions. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 10:1154074. doi: 10.3389/fmolb.2023.1154074

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Zheng, Zou, Shao, Wu, Wu and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

(CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Two-dimensional measurements of receptor-ligand interactions

Songjie Zheng^{1,2}, Min Zou^{1,2}, Yingfeng Shao¹, Huaping Wu³*, Helong Wu³* and Xiaohuan Wang⁴*

¹Institute of Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, ²School of Engineering Science, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, ³College of Mechanical Engineering, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou, China, ⁴Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Peking University Third Hospital, Beijing, China

Gaining insight into the two-dimensional receptor-ligand interactions, which play a significant role in various pivotal biological processes such as immune response and cancer metastasis, will deepen our understanding of numerous physiological and pathological mechanisms and contribute to biomedical applications and drug design. A central issue involved is how to measure the *in situ* receptor-ligand binding kinetics. Here, we review several representative mechanical-based and fluorescence-based methods, and briefly discuss the strengths and weaknesses for each method. In addition, we emphasize the great importance of the combination of experimental and computational methods in studying the receptor-ligand interactions, and further studies should focus on the synergistic development of experimental and computational methods.

KEYWORDS

receptor-ligand interaction, binding kinetics, kinetic on-rate, kinetic off-rate, binding affinity, two-dimensional measuring methods

1 Introduction

The interactions between receptors and ligands in cell adhesion are fundamental for cell communication (Hoffmann and Slansky, 2020; Overall et al., 2020; Li et al., 2021a; Liu et al., 2021; Zareie et al., 2021; Ng Chau et al., 2022; Szeto et al., 2022) and play a significant role in various pivotal biological processes such as signal transduction, immune response, tissue development, and cancer metastasis (Su et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2019; Xiong et al., 2020; Li et al., 2021b; Li et al., 2021c; Li et al., 2021e; Giampazolias et al., 2021). Studying the receptorligand interactions will deepen our understanding of cellular physiological and pathological mechanisms (Dustin et al., 2001; Czöndör et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2013; Li et al., 2018) and contribute to biomedical applications and drug design (Dinamarca et al., 2012; Sindi and Dodd, 2015; Kim et al., 2017; Logtenberg et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020). The receptor-ligand interactions can be generally characterized by their binding kinetics that involves kinetic onrate k_{on} , kinetic off-rate k_{off} and binding affinity $K = k_{on}/k_{off}$ (Figure 1A). The on-rate k_{on} and off-rate koff describe the velocity of receptor-ligand complex formation and dissociation, respectively. The binding affinity K quantifies the receptor-ligand binding strength (Li et al., 2017a; Li et al., 2017b; Overall et al., 2020; Li et al., 2023). Establishing the relationship between receptor-ligand binding kinetics and cellular responses is bound to help pharmaceutical development greatly. A central issue involved is how to measure the receptor-ligand binding kinetics.

Our early understanding on the receptor-ligand binding kinetics is mainly from the surface plasmon resonance (SPR) measurement for the soluble receptors and ligands in solution. As shown in Figure 1B, either receptors or ligands are typically immobilized on a



sensor chip in the SPR experiments, and then flowing the binding partner over the sensor chip. The receptor-ligand binding leads to a change in the mass of the chip surface layer, which in turn shifts the angle for total internal reflection. The kinetic information of receptor-ligand interaction, i.e., kon, koff, and K, is then derived by analyzing the SPR angle shift over time in combination with thermodynamic theories (Schuck, 1997; Rich and Myszka, 2000; McDonnell, 2001; Li et al., 2019). SPR experiments have provided us with important and enlightening insight into the receptor-ligand binding kinetics. Note that, the SPR measurements are performed in three-dimensional (3D) environment, whereas the in vivo interaction of receptors and ligands occurs in two dimension (2D) since receptors and ligands are anchored in two apposing cell membrane or a cell membrane and a matrix (Figure 1C), leading to the difference in dimension for binding affinity K (L³ in 3D and L² in 2D) and kinetic on-rate k_{on} (L³T⁻¹ in 3D, L²T⁻¹ in 2D) (Huang et al., 2010). This difference in dimension increases the complexity of receptor-ligand interaction and makes it much more challenging to measure the receptor-ligand binding kinetics in situ. With the development of advanced technology, a series of approaches for measuring the 2D receptor-ligand binding kinetics, such as micropipette adhesion frequency and thermal fluctuation methods, have been developed and enable us to further investigate the 2D receptor-ligand interaction (Orsello et al., 2001; Williams et al., 2001). It has been confirmed that the 2D binding kinetics of receptors and ligands depend not only on their binding strength, but also on, e.g., external force, protein flexibility, and membrane fluctuation, in sharp contrast to the 3D case. Specifically, 2D measurements from the adhesion frequency and thermal fluctuation assays show that the T cell receptor (TCR) binds to peptide major histocompatibility complex (pMHC) with significantly more rapid on-rate and higher binding affinity, as compared with that measured in solution. The rapid kinetics and broad affinity of 2D TCR-pMHC interaction are proposed to determine the T-cell responsiveness. These results highlight the necessity and importance of studying 2D receptor-ligand interaction and stimulate the development of 2D measuring methods. In this Review, we summarize several mechanical-based methods, including micropipette adhesion frequency method (Chesla et al., 1998; Huang et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2014; Seo et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2016), thermal fluctuation method (Evans et al., 1995; Chen et al., 2008a; Chen et al., 2008b), flow chamber method (Chesla et al., 1998), and fluorescence-based methods involving fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) (Tolentino et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2008), and fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) (Huppa et al., 2010). Some strengths and weaknesses of each method are briefly discussed.

2 Mechanical-based 2D methods

2.1 Micropipette adhesion frequency assay

In the micropipette adhesion frequency assay, a micropipettepressurized human red blood cell (RBC) is usually utilized to present ligands with a desired density, which can be determined by, e.g., flow cytometry analysis (Huang et al., 2010). Similarly, a nucleated cell with complementary receptors is also aspirated by another micropipette through applying an appropriate suck pressure and is placed to appose RBC (Figure 2A). The two apposing cells are then driven into contact with precisely controlled contact area and duration via micromanipulation. The adhesion events are identified by microscopically observing the deflection of the RBC membrane during the retraction of RBC away from the nucleated cell. Here, the RBC functions as an adhesion sensor due to its ultrasoft membrane, which can be deformed in response to subpiconewton force, an order of magnitude smaller than that required for breaking a receptor-ligand bond. Since the sensitivity of the measuring system, depending on the mechanical response of RBC to adhesion force, determines the accuracy of the experimental results, the sucking pressure regulated by the height of the reservoir becomes a key parameter. Repeating the contact-retraction cycle up to, e.g., one hundred times from several pairs of cells to determine the probability of cell-cell adhesion at that contact duration (Puech et al., 2011; Limozin et al., 2019). Based on a probabilistic model of small system kinetics, the 2D receptor-ligand kinetic information can be extracted by fitting the experimental data with the reaction kinetics equation, $P_a = 1 - \exp\{-m_r m_l A_c K [1 - \exp(-k_{off} t)]\}$, of the probability of adhesion (P_a) with time (t). Where m_r , m_l and A_c are the surface density of the receptor, the surface density of the ligand and the contact area respectively. The micropipette adhesion frequency assay with single-bond detection sensitivity and wide applicability has provided us with a wealth of 2D binding kinetics



information of different receptor-ligand pairs. For example, using micropipette adhesion frequency method, Chesla et al. studied the interactions of Fc γ receptor IIIA (CD16A) with either human or rabbit immunogobulin G (IgG), which regulate various effector responses in the immune system, such as phagocytosis, cellular cytotoxicity, and antigen presentation (Chesla et al., 1998). Their results show that CD16A binds to rabbit IgG with a twofold faster on-rate, but with a twofold slower off-rate, as compared with that of human IgG, thus leading to a fourfold difference in their binding affinity.

2.2 Thermal fluctuation method

In the thermal fluctuation assay, a ligand-coated bead is attached to the apex of a micropipette-aspired RBC via specific receptorligand interaction and is aligned against a receptor-bearing cell aspirated by another micropipette (Evans et al., 1995) (Figure 2B). Partially due to its attachment to the ultrasoft RBC membrane, the bead undergoes thermal fluctuations, which can be suppressed by the formation of receptor-ligand bond at the interface between ligand-coated bead and receptor-functionalized cell. Monitoring precisely the target cell to a position at which the attached bead contacts with the target cell through its thermal fluctuation but not by compressing the target cell. Then by tracking accurately and rapidly the decrease and resumption of bead thermal fluctuations in real-time, the receptor-ligand bond formation and dissociation events, as well as the bond lifetime can be identified during the contact period. The receptor-ligand binding kinetic information including the on-rate and off-rate is then derived by fitting the waiting time distribution and bond lifetime distribution according to a first-order kinetic model (Huppa et al., 2010). In the micropipette adhesion frequency assay, only the information of whether the adhesion occurs at the end of the contact time is required. In contrast, comprehensive information, including the receptor-ligand bond formation and dissociation events, as well as the bond lifetime at a single-bond level, can be obtained in the thermal fluctuation assay with higher temporal and spatial resolution (Chen et al., 2008b), thus improving the reliability and robustness of the measured receptor-ligand binding kinetics. Using the thermal fluctuation method, Chen et al. (2008a) performed a kinetic experiment to investigate the interaction of P-selectin glycoprotein ligand-1 (PSGL-1) with L-selectin or P-selectin. They found that PSGL-1 has a slower on-rate, but a faster offrate, with L-selectin than P-selectin, providing insight into the leukocyte rolling adhesion on the surfaces of vascular endothelial cells during inflammation.

2.3 Flow chamber method

Another representative mechanical-based 2D method is the flow chamber assay, in which receptor-bearing cells or receptorencapsulated microparticles are drawn into a chamber floor functionalized with cognate ligand molecules. A pump-controlled laminar fluid flow with varied velocity is then introduced to subject the cells or microparticles to shear stress (Figure 2C). These cells or microparticles undergo adhesion, detachment, and reattachment to the surface of the chamber floor in the upright orientation during their rolling process in response to the laminar fluid flow. By

monitoring the position and trajectory of rolling cells or microparticles with a computer-based tracking system, two independent adhesion information, i.e., binding frequency and detachment kinetics, can be then obtained, from which the receptor-ligand off-rate can be determined by fitting the adhesion curve of the number of events remaining bound as a function of time. Compared with the adhesion frequency and thermal fluctuation assays, the flow chamber assay has higher throughput and can be used to investigate the response of the binding kinetics to the external force by applying a range of shear stresses (Robert et al., 2012). Note that, the flow chamber assay with chamber floor in the upright orientation is unable to measure the on-rate and the binding affinity. To obtain more binding kinetic information, a minimal mathematical rolling model is proposed for a flow chamber assay with the chamber floor in the inverted orientation. Using the inverted flow chamber assay, Li et al. (2016) evaluated the onrate and binding affinity of selectin-ligand interactions, which are found to increase in response to enhanced shear stresses within a certain range. Their results provide insights into the molecular mechanism underlying the flow-enhanced stability of rolling leukocytes.

3 Fluorescence-based 2D methods

3.1 Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP)

The FRAP assay consists of a receptor-bearing cell adhering on a supported lipid bilayer (SLB) functionalized with cognate ligands, which can diffuse freely across the SLB and are tagged with fluorescent labels to track their diffusion and quantify their molecular densities. Upon the cell adhesion on the SLB, receptors start to bind ligands. The formation of receptor-ligand complex significantly reduces the number of free ligands at the contact area, which leads to the free ligands diffusion from outside to inside the contact area and the accompanying accumulation of fluorescence in the contact area as a result of the density gradient. The binding affinity of receptor and ligand can be derived by analyzing the bound and free ligand density at equilibrium in the contact area according to Golan-Zhu plot (Zhu et al., 2007) or Scatchard plot (Dustin et al., 1996). To further explore the receptor-ligand association/ dissociation kinetics, FRAP-based method is adopted in the cell-SLB adhesion system. Specifically, the ligand fluorescence in contact area is bleached with a laser pulse. Due to the receptor-ligand dissociation, rebinding, and the exchange of bleached ligands for fluorescent ligands by diffusion, the fluorescence recoveries over time in the contact area (Figure 2D). Using a diffusion-reaction model (Wu et al., 2008), one can evaluate the on-rate and off-rate by fitting the fluorescence recovery curve, which provides information on ligand diffusion and receptor-ligand binding kinetics. FRAPbased assay provides a new method for measuring the 2D receptorligand kinetic rates and can be used to simultaneously investigate the retarded diffusion and non-recoverable fractions of interacting proteins. But not all fluorescent proteins are irreversibly photobleached, and this behavior can lead to false results. Using this FRAP assay, Tolentino et al. (2008) found that the 2D off-rate is at least two orders of magnitude slower than that measured in 3D solution and observed a significant non-recoverable fraction of interaction ligands, which might be important for the formation of stable signaling platforms.

3.2 Fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET)

Another fluorescence-based protocol for measuring the receptor-ligand binding kinetics is the single-molecule FRET (Figure 2E). In contrast to FRAP, in which only the ligands on the SLB are labeled with fluorescence, in the single-molecule FRET method both receptor and ligand are respectively conjugated with donor and acceptor fluorophores. The receptor-ligand interaction brings the donor and acceptor fluorophores closer to each other to enable FRET. The association and dissociation kinetics and lifetime of receptor-ligand complex can be directly identified by monitoring the occurrence and disappearance of FRET signal. Using a singlestep dissociation model (Huppa et al., 2010), the 2D receptor-ligand off-rate can be determined by fitting the FRET disappearance events as a function of time. And the 2D receptor-ligand affinity is calculated by analyzing the area concentrations of receptor-ligand complex, free receptor, and free ligand, which are estimated from the donor/acceptor fluorescent intensities and the FRET signals. Using this FRET assay, Huppa et al. investigated the binding kinetics of TCR and pMHC. They found an approximately 100-fold and 4-12fold increase in the 2D affinity and off-rate, respectively, compared with the 3D results measured by SPR. Their results indicate that the elevated 2D TCR-pMHC affinity results from their fast on-rate (Huppa et al., 2010). This elegant FRET protocol enables us to investigate the 2D receptor-ligand interactions at the single molecule level (Huang et al., 2012). However, the unique FRET design and generation required for each molecular system lead to high technical challenges. Moreover, to sensitively capture the weak singlemolecule FRET signal to ensure the accuracy of measured results, the single-molecule FRET assay should also be equipped with a finetuned optical system (Zhu et al., 2013).

4 Discussion

Here, we summarize several mechanical-based and fluorescence-based methods developed for measuring the 2D receptor-ligand binding kinetics. Some strengths and weaknesses of each method are briefly discussed. The experimental results from these measuring methods have further enriched our understanding of the 2D receptor-ligand interactions and revealed a sharp contrast between the measured data from 2D biologically inspired approach and 3D reductionist approach, which in turn prompts a rethinking of our current views of the *in situ* receptor-ligand interactions.

It will further contribute to our understanding on the receptorligand interaction through a combination of experimental and computational methods. For example, theoretical and computer simulation results reveal the thermal membrane roughness-induced binding cooperativity of 2D receptor-ligand interaction (Krobath et al., 2009; Hu et al., 2013). This binding cooperativity, which has been subsequently confirmed in the CD47-SIRPa mediated adhesion system (Steinkühler et al., 2018), helps to explain the several orders of

10.3389/fmolb.2023.1154074

magnitude difference in the binding affinity measured by different experimental methods. Moreover, experimental results from the adhesion frequency and thermal fluctuation assays using a micropipette indicate that disrupting the membrane microdomains, often termed lipid rafts, with methyl-β-cyclodextrin reduces the binding affinity of TCR and pMHC (Huang et al., 2010). To illuminate the mechanism underlying the raft-regulated receptorligand interaction, Li et al. developed a multicomponent membrane adhesion system in the framework of classical statistical mechanics and systematically studied the interplay between the receptor-ligand interaction and lipid raft (Li et al., 2017a; Li et al., 2017b; Li et al., 2018; Li et al., 2020; Li et al., 2021d; Li et al., 2021e; Li et al., 2021c; Li et al., 2022; Li et al., 2023). They found that lipid rafts enhance the receptor-ligand binding affinity, consistent with the experimental results, and the receptor-ligand binding in turn contributes to the raft coalescence. The membrane fluctuation and the induced entropic force are revealed to play a central role. Their findings uncover the novel mechanism and significantly deepen our understanding on the physiological function of both receptor-ligand interaction and lipid raft. In addition, their further studies using the developed statistical mechanical model show that the entropic forcemediated raft coalescence is also implicated in the pattern formation and receptor-ligand binding during T-cell adhesion, which helps to explain recent experimental observations and provides potential new therapeutic options for immunotherapy (Li et al., 2021b). These results together embody fully the great importance of the combination of experimental and computational methods in gaining insight into the receptor-ligand binding, and further studies should focus on the synergistic development of experimental and computational methods.

References

Chen, W., Evans, E. A., McEver, R. P., and Zhu, C. (2008a). Monitoring receptorligand interactions between surfaces by thermal fluctuations. *Biophys. J.* 94 (2), 694–701. doi:10.1529/biophysj.107.117895

Chen, W., Zarnitsyna, V. I., Sarangapani, K. K., Huang, J., and Zhu, C. (2008b). Measuring receptor-ligand binding kinetics on cell surfaces: From adhesion frequency to thermal fluctuation methods. *Cell. Mol. Bioeng.* 1 (4), 276–288. doi:10.1007/s12195-008-0024-8

Chesla, S. E., Selvaraj, P., and Zhu, C. (1998). Measuring two-dimensional receptorligand binding kinetics by micropipette. *Biophys. J.* 75 (3), 1553–1572. doi:10.1016/ s0006-3495(98)74074-3

Czöndör, K., Garcia, M., Argento, A., Constals, A., Breillat, C., Tessier, B., et al. (2013). Micropatterned substrates coated with neuronal adhesion molecules for high-content study of synapse formation. *Nat. Commun.* 4, 2252. doi:10.1038/ncomms3252

Dinamarca, M. C., Ríos, J. A., and Inestrosa, N. C. (2012). Postsynaptic receptors for amyloid- β oligomers as mediators of neuronal damage in alzheimer's disease. Front. Physiol. 3, 464. doi:10.3389/fphys.2012.00464

Dustin, M. L., Bromley, S. K., Davis, M. M., and Zhu, C. (2001). Identification of self through two-dimensional chemistry and synapses. *Annu. Rev. Cell. Dev. Biol.* 17, 133–157. doi:10.1146/annurev.cellbio.17.1.133

Dustin, M. L., Ferguson, L. M., Chan, P. Y., Springer, T. A., and Golan, D. E. (1996). Visualization of CD2 interaction with LFA-3 and determination of the two-dimensional dissociation constant for adhesion receptors in a contact area. *J. Cell. Biol.* 132 (3), 465–474. doi:10.1083/jcb.132.3.465

Evans, E., Ritchie, K., and Merkel, R. (1995). Sensitive force technique to probe molecular adhesion and structural linkages at biological interfaces. *Biophys. J.* 68 (6), 2580–2587. doi:10.1016/s0006-3495(95)80441-8

Giampazolias, E., Schulz, O., Lim, K. H. J., Rogers, N. C., Chakravarty, P., Srinivasan, N., et al. (2021). Secreted gelsolin inhibits DNGR-1-dependent cross-presentation and cancer immunity. *Cell.* 184 (15), 4016–4031. e22. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2021.05.021

Hoffmann, M. M., and Slansky, J. E. (2020). T-cell receptor affinity in the age of cancer immunotherapy. *Mol. Carcinog.* 59 (7), 862–870. doi:10.1002/mc.23212

Author contributions

HPW, HLW, and XHW designed this research. SJZ, HPW, HLW, and XHW wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors read and contributed to the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the Programs in the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12232019).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The reviewer CT declared a shared affiliation with the authors SZ, MZ and YS to the handling editor at time of review.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Hu, J., Lipowsky, R., and Weikl, T. R. (2013). Binding constants of membraneanchored receptors and ligands depend strongly on the nanoscale roughness of membranes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 110 (38), 15283–15288. doi:10.1073/pnas. 1305766110

Huang, J., Meyer, C., and Zhu, C. (2012). T cell antigen recognition at the cell membrane. *Mol. Immunol.* 52, 155–164. doi:10.1016/j.molimm.2012.05.004

Huang, J., Zarnitsyna, V. I., Liu, B., Edwards, L. J., Jiang, N., Evavold, B. D., et al. (2010). The kinetics of two-dimensional TCR and pMHC interactions determine T-cell responsiveness. *Nature* 464 (7290), 932–936. doi:10.1038/nature08944

Huppa, J. B., Axmann, M., Mörtelmaier, M. A., Lillemeier, B. F., Newell, E. W., Brameshuber, M., et al. (2010). TCR-peptide-MHC interactions *in situ* show accelerated kinetics and increased affinity. *Nature* 463 (7283), 963–967. doi:10. 1038/nature08746

Kim, J. A., Kim, D., Won, S. Y., Han, K. A., Park, D., Cho, E., et al. (2017). Structural insights into modulation of neurexin-neuroligin trans-synaptic adhesion by MDGA1/ neuroligin-2 complex. *Neuron* 94 (6), 1121–1131.e6. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2017.05.034

Krobath, H., Różycki, B., Lipowsky, R., and Weikl, T. R. (2009). Binding cooperativity of membrane adhesion receptors. *Soft Matter* 5 (17), 3354–3361. doi:10.1039/B902036E

Lee, K., Kim, Y., Lee, S. J., Qiang, Y., Lee, D., Lee, H. W., et al. (2013). MDGAs interact selectively with neuroligin-2 but not other neuroligins to regulate inhibitory synapse development. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 110 (1), 336–341. doi:10.1073/pnas. 1219987110

Li, L., Gao, J., Shao, Y., Song, F., and Hu, J. (2021a). Tuning cell adhesion on supported lipid bilayers via nanoscale geometry. *Soft Matter* 17 (45), 10376–10382. doi:10.1039/d1sm01407b

Li, L., Hu, J., Li, L., and Song, F. (2019). Binding constant of membrane-anchored receptors and ligands that induce membrane curvatures. *Soft Matter* 15 (17), 3507–3514. doi:10.1039/c8sm02504e

Li, L., Hu, J., Róycki, B., Wang, X., and Song, F. (2021b). Influence of lipid rafts on pattern formation during T-cell adhesion. *New J. Phys.* 23, 043052. doi:10.1088/1367-2630/abeacb

Li, L., Hu, J., Różycki, B., Ji, J., and Song, F. (2022). Interplay of receptor-ligand binding and lipid domain formation during cell adhesion. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 9, 1019477. doi:10.3389/fmolb.2022.1019477

Li, L., Hu, J., Różycki, B., and Song, F. (2020). Intercellular receptor-ligand binding and thermal fluctuations facilitate receptor aggregation in adhering membranes. *Nano Lett.* 20 (1), 722–728. doi:10.1021/acs.nanolett.9b04596

Li, L., Hu, J., Shi, X., Różycki, B., and Song, F. (2021c). Interplay between cooperativity of intercellular receptor-ligand binding and coalescence of nanoscale lipid clusters in adhering membranes. *Soft Matter* 17 (7), 1912–1920. doi:10.1039/d0sm01904f

Li, L., Hu, J., Shi, X., Shao, Y., and Song, F. (2017a). Lipid rafts enhance the binding constant of membrane-anchored receptors and ligands. *Soft Matter* 13 (23), 4294–4304. doi:10.1039/c7sm00572e

Li, L., Hu, J., Wu, H., and Song, F. (2021d). Cis-interaction of ligands on a supported lipid bilayer affects their binding to cell adhesion receptors. *Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron.* 64 (10), 108712. doi:10.1007/s11433-021-1752-0

Li, L., Hu, J., Xu, G., and Song, F. (2018). Binding constant of cell adhesion receptors and substrate-immobilized ligands depends on the distribution of ligands. *Phys. Rev. E.* 97 (1), 012405. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.97.012405

Li, L., Ji, J., Song, F., and Hu, J. (2023). Intercellular receptor-ligand binding: Effect of protein-membrane interaction. J. Mol. Biol. 435 (1), 167787. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2022.167787

Li, L., Wang, X., Wu, H., Shao, Y., Wu, H., and Song, F. (2021e). Interplay between receptor-ligand binding and lipid domain formation depends on the mobility of ligands in cell-substrate adhesion. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 8, 655662. doi:10.3389/fmolb.2021.655662

Li, L., Xu, G. K., and Song, F. (2017b). Impact of lipid rafts on the T-cell-receptor and peptide-major-histocompatibility-complex interactions under different measurement conditions. *Phys. Rev. E* 95 (1), 012403. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.95.012403

Li, Q., Wayman, A., Lin, J., Fang, Y., Zhu, C., and Wu, J. (2016). Flow-enhanced stability of rolling adhesion through E-selectin. *Biophys. J.* 111 (4), 686–699. doi:10. 1016/j.bpj.2016.07.014

Limozin, L., Bridge, M., Bongrand, P., Dushek, O., van der Merwe, P. A., and Robert, P. (2019). TCR-pMHC kinetics under force in a cell-free system show no intrinsic catch bond, but a minimal encounter duration before binding. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 116 (34), 16943–16948. doi:10.1073/pnas.1902141116

Liu, B., Chen, W., Evavold, B. D., and Zhu, C. (2014). Accumulation of dynamic catch bonds between TCR and agonist peptide-MHC triggers T cell signaling. *Cell.* 157 (2), 357–368. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.02.053

Liu, B., Kolawole, E. M., and Evavold, B. D. (2021). Mechanobiology of T cell activation: To catch a bond. *Annu. Rev. Cell. Dev. Biol.* 37, 65–87. doi:10.1146/annurev-cellbio-120219-055100

Logtenberg, M. E. W., Scheeren, F. A., and Schumacher, T. N. (2020). The CD47-SIRPa immune checkpoint. *Immunity* 52 (5), 742–752. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2020. 04.011

McDonnell, J. M. (2001). Surface plasmon resonance: Towards an understanding of the mechanisms of biological molecular recognition. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* 5 (5), 572–577. doi:10.1016/s1367-5931(00)00251-9

Ng Chau, K., George, J. T., Onuchic, J. N., Lin, X., and Levine, H. (2022). Contact map dependence of a T-cell receptor binding repertoire. *Phys. Rev. E* 106 (1), 014406. doi:10. 1103/PhysRevE.106.014406

Orsello, C. E., Lauffenburger, D. A., and Hammer, D. A. (2001). Molecular properties in cell adhesion: A physical and engineering perspective. *Trends Biotechnol.* 19 (8), 310–316. doi:10.1016/s0167-7799(01)01692-4

Overall, S. A., Toor, J. S., Hao, S., Yarmarkovich, M., Sara, M. O. R., Morozov, G. I., et al. (2020). High throughput pMHC-I tetramer library production using chaperonemediated peptide exchange. *Nat. Commun.* 11 (1), 1909. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-15710-1

Puech, P. H., Nevoltris, D., Robert, P., Limozin, L., Boyer, C., and Bongrand, P. (2011). Force measurements of TCR/pMHC recognition at T cell surface. *PLoS One* 6 (7), e22344. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0022344 Rich, R. L., and Myszka, D. G. (2000). Advances in surface plasmon resonance biosensor analysis. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 11 (1), 54–61. doi:10.1016/s0958-1669(99) 00054-3

Robert, P., Aleksic, M., Dushek, O., Cerundolo, V., Bongrand, P., and van der Merwe, P. A. (2012). Kinetics and mechanics of two-dimensional interactions between T cell receptors and different activating ligands. *Biophys. J.* 102 (2), 248–257. doi:10.1016/j.bpj. 2011.11.4018

Schuck, P. (1997). Use of surface plasmon resonance to probe the equilibrium and dynamic aspects of interactions between biological macromolecules. *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct.* 26 (1), 541–566. doi:10.1146/annurev.biophys.26.1.541

Seo, Y. J., Jothikumar, P., Suthar, M. S., Zhu, C., and Grakoui, A. (2016). Local cellular and cytokine cues in the spleen regulate *in situ* T cell receptor affinity, function, and fate of CD8+ T cells. *Immunity* 45 (5), 988–998. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2016.10.024

Sindi, I. A., and Dodd, P. R. (2015). New insights into alzheimer's disease pathogenesis: The involvement of neuroligins in synaptic malfunction. *Neurodegener. Dis. Manag.* 5 (2), 137–145. doi:10.2217/nmt.14.54

Steinkühler, J., Różycki, B., Alvey, C., Lipowsky, R., Weikl, T. R., Dimova, R., et al. (2018). Membrane fluctuations and acidosis regulate cooperative binding of 'marker of self protein CD47 with the macrophage checkpoint receptor SIRPa. J. Cell. Sci. 132 (4), jcs216770. doi:10.1242/jcs.216770

Su, X., Ditlev, J. A., Hui, E., Xing, W., Banjade, S., Okrut, J., et al. (2016). Phase separation of signaling molecules promotes T cell receptor signal transduction. *Science* 352, 595–599. doi:10.1126/science.aad9964

Szeto, C., Zareie, P., Wirasinha, R. C., Zhang, J. B., Nguyen, A. T., Riboldi-Tunnicliffe, A., et al. (2022). Covalent TCR-peptide-MHC interactions induce T cell activation and redirect T cell fate in the thymus. *Nat. Commun.* 13 (1), 4951. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-32692-4

Tolentino, T. P., Wu, J., Zarnitsyna, V. I., Fang, Y., Dustin, M. L., and Zhu, C. (2008). Measuring diffusion and binding kinetics by contact area FRAP. *Biophys. J.* 95 (2), 920–930. doi:10.1529/biophysj.107.114447

Wang, J., Sun, J., Liu, L. N., Flies, D. B., Nie, X., Toki, M., et al. (2019). Siglec-15 as an immune suppressor and potential target for normalization cancer immunotherapy. *Nat. Med.* 25 (4), 656–666. doi:10.1038/s41591-019-0374-x

Williams, T. E., Nagarajan, S., Selvaraj, P., and Zhu, C. (2001). Quantifying the impact of membrane microtopology on effective two-dimensional affinity. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276 (16), 13283–13288. doi:10.1074/jbc.M010427200

Wu, J., Fang, Y., Zarnitsyna, V. I., Tolentino, T. P., Dustin, M. L., and Zhu, C. (2008). A coupled diffusion-kinetics model for analysis of contact-area FRAP experiment. *Biophys. J.* 95 (2), 910–919. doi:10.1529/biophysj.107.114439

Xiong, G., Chen, J., Zhang, G., Wang, S., Kawasaki, K., Zhu, J., et al. (2020). Hsp47 promotes cancer metastasis by enhancing collagen-dependent cancer cellplatelet interaction. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 117 (7), 3748–3758. doi:10.1073/ pnas.1911951117

Zareie, P., Szeto, C., Farenc, C., Gunasinghe, S. D., Kolawole, E. M., Nguyen, A., et al. (2021). Canonical T cell receptor docking on peptide-MHC is essential for T cell signaling. *Science* 372 (6546), eabe9124. doi:10.1126/science.abe9124

Zhang, S. Q., Parker, P., Ma, K. Y., He, C., Shi, Q., Cui, Z., et al. (2016). Direct measurement of T cell receptor affinity and sequence from naïve antiviral T cells. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 8 (341), 341ra77. doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aaf1278

Zhang, W., Huang, Q., Xiao, W., Zhao, Y., Pi, J., Xu, H., et al. (2020). Advances in antitumor treatments targeting the CD47/SIRPa axis. *Front. Immunol.* 11, 18. doi:10.3389/ fimmu.2020.00018

Zhu, C., Jiang, N., Huang, J., Zarnitsyna, V. I., and Evavold, B. D. (2013). Insights from *in situ* analysis of TCR-pMHC recognition: Response of an interaction network. *Immunol. Rev.* 251 (1), 49–64. doi:10.1111/imr.12016

Zhu, D. M., Dustin, M. L., Cairo, C. W., and Golan, D. E. (2007). Analysis of twodimensional dissociation constant of laterally mobile cell adhesion molecules. *Biophys. J.* 92 (3), 1022–1034. doi:10.1529/biophysj.106.089649